9/2/2014 2:13-cv-00193

SENATE JOURNAL

EIGHTY-SECOND LEGISLATURE — REGULAR SESSION

AUSTIN, TEXAS

PROCEEDINGS

SIXTH DAY

(Wednesday, January 26, 2011)

The Senate met at 8:45 p.m. pursuant to adjournment and was called to order by the President.

The roll was called and the following Senators were present: Birdwell, Carona, Davis, Deuell, Duncan, Ellis, Eltife, Estes, Fraser, Gallegos, Harris, Hegar, Hinojosa, Huffman, Jackson, Lucio, Nelson, Nichols, Ogden, Patrick, Rodriguez, Seliger, Shapiro, Van de Putte, Watson, Wentworth, West, Whitmire, Williams, Zaffirini.

Absent-excused: Uresti.

The President announced that a quorum of the Senate was present.

Senator Estes offered the invocation as follows:

Almighty God, please look kindly upon those who exercise governing power over Your people, especially those of us here in the Texas Senate. I pray that we might always be people of honesty and integrity. May we be kept in good health with the support and cooperation of those we serve. Give us, the men and women who help govern this state, wisdom so we might always know right from wrong, good from evil, and the difference between service to others and service to ourselves. May we always exercise our authority with complete fairness and for the common good of all. May we always have the ability to see a vision of a better, more just, and a more equitable tomorrow. May all those who govern this state be men and women of deep faith, enduring hope, and abiding love. Almighty God, when the human family is confronted with a serious difficulty or an unexpected crisis, please raise from our midst leaders who can solve our problems and help deliver us from all those elements which rob peoples of their peace of mind and inner sense of security. In Your holy name. Amen.

Senator Whitmire moved that the reading of the Journal of the proceedings of the previous day be dispensed with and the Journal be approved as printed.

The motion prevailed without objection.

LEAVE OF ABSENCE

On motion of Senator Whitmire, Senator Uresti was granted leave of absence for today on account of important business.

JA_001373

CONCLUSION OF MORNING CALL

The President at 8:51 p.m. announced the conclusion of morning call.

SENATE BILL 14 ON THIRD READING

The President laid before the Senate SB 14 by Senator Fraser at this time on its third reading and final passage (Set as special order) (Submitted by Governor as an emergency matter):

SB 14, Relating to requirements to vote, including presenting proof of identification; providing criminal penalties.

The bill was read third time and was passed by the following vote: Yeas 19, Nays 11.

Yeas: Birdwell, Carona, Deuell, Duncan, Eltife, Estes, Fraser, Harris, Hegar, Huffman, Jackson, Nelson, Nichols, Ogden, Patrick, Seliger, Shapiro, Wentworth, Williams.

Nays: Davis, Ellis, Gallegos, Hinojosa, Lucio, Rodriguez, Van de Putte, Watson, West, Whitmire, Zaffirini.

Absent-excused: Uresti.

LETTER FROM THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Senator Gallegos submitted the following letter relating to SB 14:

Ann McGeehan
Director of Elections
State of Texas

January 26, 2011

The Honorable Mario Gallegos, Jr. Texas Senate
P.O. Box 12068 – Capitol Station
Austin, Texas 78711

Dear Senator Gallegos:

This letter is to follow up on the question you asked about the voter education funding during the meeting of the Committee of the Whole yesterday. Specifically, you asked about the state funding for the Missouri voter identification law and why the State of Missouri funded the voter identification law for \$6 million dollars for the first year of implementation and for \$4 million dollars for the second year of implementation.

This morning, I spoke to Waylene Hiles, Interim Deputy Secretary of State for Elections in Missouri. Ms. Hiles explained that the voter identification law enacted by the Missouri was struck down by a state supreme court case several years ago. She stated that several bills are currently pending before their state legislature, but nothing has passed so far. Ms. Hiles did not have immediate access to the fiscal note on the bill that was enacted several years ago and struck down, but she recalled that the funding included two components. One component was for voter education and the

other component was for providing free identification cards to voters. Ms. Hiles is researching the issue and promised to get back to our office with details. As soon as I obtain additional information, I will forward it to your office.

I hope this information is helpful, and please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely,
/s/Ann McGeehan
Director of Elections

LETTERS FROM THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE

Senator Davis submitted the following letters relating to SB 14:

Ann McGeehan Director of Elections State of Texas

January 26, 2011

The Honorable Wendy Davis Texas Senate P.O. Box 12068 – Capitol Station Austin, Texas 78711

Dear Senator Davis:

This letter is to follow up on several questions that you asked during my testimony before the Committee of Whole as the Committee took up Senate Bill 14.

First, you asked about the number of voters who provided drivers license/personal identification card numbers, social security numbers or who stated that they had neither number when they applied to register to vote. Here is the break down of those numbers since an identification number first became required in January 2006:

Total number of new voters from 1/01/2006 through 12/31/2010: 3,975,567

2,334,281 provided TDL/ID (59%)

294,142 provided SSN (7%)

1,312,638 provided both identification numbers (33%)

34,506 provided neither (1.0%)

Second, you asked about the federal Help America Vote Act Funds. Specifically, you asked about how the HAVA funds are budgeted and requested documentation of the budget. Enclosed are the Texas State Plan and subsequent HAVA funding certifications approved by the federal Election Assistance Commission. Lastly, you asked how much of the HAVA funds had been spent on the online poll worker training program and that amount is \$845,723.

I hope this information is helpful, and please let me know if you have any additional questions.

Sincerely, /s/Ann McGeehan Director of Elections

JA_001375

The State of Texas

Elections Division P.O. Box 12060 Austin, Texas 78711-2060 WWW.SOS.State.tx.us



Hope Andrade Secretary of State Fax: 512-475-2811 Dial 7-1-1 For Relay Services (800) 252-VOTE (8683)

Phone: 512-463-5650

October 23, 2009

Gineen Bresso Beach, Chair US Election Assistance Commission 1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

Dear Chairman Beach:

Texas respectfully requests the disbursement to the Texas Comptroller the fiscal year 2008 and 2009 requirements payments for which Texas is eligible pursuant to the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002. As such, I would like provide the following information regarding our HAVA state plan followed by the necessary certifications to access the funds.

Addendum to the 2005 Texas State Plan

In accordance with Section 254(12) of the Help America Vote Act, the Texas Secretary of State proposes the following clarifications to the Texas State Plan filed in 2005. The state has made no material changes but will modify the budget described in Element 6 of the 2005 State Plan to take into consideration additional Congressional appropriations authorized in federal fiscal years 2008 and 2009 as well as future appropriations. Because no material changes are being proposed, the state is not required to publish a public notice as required in Section 256 of HAVA nor does it need to be published in the Federal Register. However, the state plan, including the information provided in this correspondence, will be made available to the public on the Texas Secretary of State's website.

Element 6: Proposed Budget - HAVA Scc. 254(a)(6)

The state will update the existing state plan budget to accommodate the following:

- Consolidate the state plan purpose areas (budget categories) into the following four main categories:
 - 1. State Plan Administration:

Overall administration of HAVA-funded activities, including staffing costs, technical support, training, and other costs associated with overseeing HAVA implementation.

2. TEAM (statewide VR system):

Activities pertaining to the origing development, maintenance, and operation of the FIAVA-compliant voter registration

-1-

JA 001376

system, TEAM. developed in response to HAVA. Costs may also cover activities associated with county compatibility with TEAM.

3. Grant to Counties:

Includes sub-grants to counties to continue compliance with Title III of HAVA, including ongoing maintenance and upgrades to HAVA-compliant voting systems. Other sub-grants to counties allow election officials and their staffs to attend election-related conferences, seminars, and training events.

4. Election Worker Training and Voter Education:

Covers election official and election worker training programs – both printed material and online training tools. Voter education is intended to inform all Texas voters of their voting rights under the law, including the mandates imposed by HAVA. Methods to reach the voters include media spots, printed materials, and online tools.

- Maintain funding percentage ranges rather than fixed budget amounts, which allows for the allocation of future appropriations and earned interest.
- Increase funding for TEAM, county compliance with HAVA, Election Worker Training and Voter Education and decrease funding for State Plan Administration as described below.

The revised budget listed below maintains the same allocations as the Texas State Plan of 2005, but accounts for the increased funding made available by Congress in 2008 and 2009. In addition, the revised budget accounts for approximately \$15.2MM in interest accrued on existing funds and include all Title I funding. Although the state proposes to consolidate the Texas State Plan into four main categories, the Secretary of State's accounting system and financial controls track each source of funding separately as well as the details on specific expenditures.

	Proposed	Proposed	
	Allocation	Allocation	Suggested
n	Percentage	Ranges (in	Allocations
Purpose Area	Range	millions)	(approx.)
SOS Administrative Expenses	2% - 5%		\$8,000,000
TEAM (statewide VR system)	10% - 20%		\$34.000,000
Grants to Counties	65% - 75%	\$144.7 - \$167	\$164,500,000
Voter Ed., Election Official and Poll Worker Training	5% - 10%	\$11.1 - \$22.2	\$16,000,000

ā, ·	*	Allocation
·	Allocation	Percentage
	<u>Percentage</u>	Proposed
6	Current State	Amended
Purpose Area	<u>Plan</u>	State Plan
SOS Administrative Expenses	4 40%	3.60%
TEAM (statewide VR system)	15.50%	15.30%

- 2 -

Grants to Counties 73.80% 73.90% Voter Ed., Election Official and Poll Worker Training 6.40% 7.20%

Certifications

Texas hereby certifies to the following and is eligible to receive payments under Title II, Section 251 of the Help American Vote Act (HAVA):

- Complied with the requirements referred to in section 253(b) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002.
- Filed and implemented a plan for uniform, nondiscriminatory administrative complaint procedures required by HAVA Section 402.
- Appropriated matching funds equal to five percent of the total amount of the HAVA requirements payment budget.
- Complied with the six laws listed in Section 906 of HAVA.
- Filed a state plan that complies with the requirements listed in Sections 254, 255, and 256 of HAVA.
- Certifies under Section 251 (b)(2) of HAVA that it has implemented the requirements of HAVA
 Title III and may use requirements payments for other activities to improve the administration of
 elections for federal office.

Sincerety,

Hope Andrade

Texas Secretary of State

The State of Texas

Elections Division F.O. Box 12060 Austin, Texas 787H-2060 WWW.SOS.Sfate.tx.us



Phone: 512-463-5650 Fax: 512-475-2811 Dial 7-1-1 For Relay Services (800) 252-VOTE (8683)

August, 2010

Secretary of State

Donetta Davidson, Chair US Election Assistance Commission 1225 New York Avenue, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005

Dear Chairman Davidson:

Texas respectfully requests the disbursement to the Texas Comptroller the fiscal year 2010 Requirements Payments for which Texas is eligible pursuant to the Help America Vote Act (HAVA) of 2002. The Texas Secretary of State does not propose material changes to the Texas State Plan filed in 2005. As outlined in the Texas certification to drawdown the 2008 and 2009 Requirements Payments, the state will continue to allocate the funding in four main categories based on percentages:

<u>Pt</u> 1.	Irpose Area State Plan Administration:	Description Overall administration of HAVA-funded activities, including staffing costs, technical support, training, and other costs associated with overseeing HAVA implementation.	% of Funding 2% - 5%
2:	TEAM (statewide VR system).	Activities pertaining to the ongoing development, maintenance, and operation of the HAVA-compliant voter registration system, TEAM, developed in response to HAVA. Costs may also cover activities associated with county compatibility with TEAM.	10% - 20%
3.	Grant to Counties:	Includes sub-grants to counties to continue compliance with Title III of HAVA. including ongoing maintenance and upgrades to HAVA-compliant voting systems. Other sub-grants to counties allow election officials and their staffs to attend election-related conferences, seminars, and training events.	65% - 75%
4.	Election Worker Training and Voter Education:	Covers election official and election worker training programs – both printed material and online training tools. Voter education is intended to inform all Texas voters of their voting rights under the law, including the mandates imposed by HAVA. Methods to reach the voters include media spots, printed materials, and online tools.	5% - 10%

-1-

JA_001379

Certifications

Texas hereby certifies to the following and is eligible to receive payments under Title II, Section 251 of the Help American Vote Act (HAVA):

- Complied with the requirements referred to in section 253(b) of the Help America Vote Act of 2002.
- Filed and implemented a plan for uniform, nondiscriminatory administrative complaint procedures required by HAVA Section 402.
- Appropriated matching funds equal to five percent of the total amount of the HAVA requirements payment budget.
- Complied with the six laws listed in Section 906 of HAVA.
- Filed a state plan that complies with the requirements listed in Sections 254, 255, and 256 of HAVA.
- Certifies under Section 251 (b)(2) of HAVA that it has implemented the requirements of HAVA
 Title III and may use requirements payments for other activities to improve the administration of
 elections for federal office.

Sincerely

Hope Andrade

Texas Secretary of State

Amended Texas State Plan Pursuant to the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) January, 2005

Geoffrey S. Connor Secretary of State P.O. Box 12060 Austin, Texas 78711-2060 www.sos.state.tx.us (800) 252-VOTE(8683)

JA_001381

Amended Texas State Plan

 How the requirements payments (i.e., Title II funds) will be used to meet the mandates in Title III (new federal requirements)

Brief Overview of State Elections Structure

The Secretary of State ("SOS") is the chief election officer of the state and is required to maintain uniformity in elections held in the state and to advise and assist local officials who actually conduct elections. The Secretary of State also has authority to adopt administrative rules to gain uniformity in interpretation of state election laws and procedures.

The conduct of elections in Texas is decentralized. The statutory requirements for elections are set out in the Texas Election Code. The county clerk or county election administrator, in those counties that have created the office, is generally the official charged with conducting county elections. County voter registrars maintain the official list of registered voters; the voter registrar is generally either the county tax assessor-collector, or again the election administrator. The county political parties conduct primary elections in Texas, with the county chair as the chief elections official. Early voting in the primary is conducted by the county elections official.

Other elections are conducted by the political subdivision. City elections are held by the city, school district elections by the school, and so on. These political subdivisions often contract with the county to conduct their election or hold joint elections with one another, but they are not required to do so. They utilize the county list of registered voters appropriate for their locality.

The Secretary of State maintains an unofficial state list of registered voters. The Secretary of State's office houses and maintains a state master file of all registered voters. The Secretary of State also maintains the Texas Voter Registration Online System ("TVRS"), which is a voluntary online voter registration system currently used by 164 of 254 counties. For those counties utilizing the TVRS system, the state database reflects their "official" voter file. The master file has approximately 12.1 million active voters and also stores approximately 2.5 million cancelled voters at any given time. The state master file maintains two separate tables defined for either "offline" or "online" counties. An offline county updates the masterfile through a web browser application, on a weekly basis in a pre-specified standard record layout. TVRS counties update in real time with all transactions validated and updated per session. At present, voter registration systems are reviewed by the Secretary of State's office to ensure that they are capable of submitting reports in a standard format as required by the state.

Texas is a state covered under Section 5 of the federal Voting Rights Act, which requires changes in election processes to be submitted to the Voting Section of the U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") for review prior to enforcing the change. At the state level, the Secretary of State submits changes in state election procedures. At the local level, each county must submit its changes to DOJ. These include polling place changes, change in the method of election, and adoption of new voting systems, among others.

According to the 2000 decennial census, the voting age population of Texas was 14,965,061. The state had 12,365,235 registered voters for the 2000 general election. In the 2002 November general election, the number of registered voters was 12,563,459.

Turnout in the 2000 November general election for state and county officers was 6,407,637, which constituted 51.8% of the registered voters and 42.8% of the voting age population. Turnout in the 2002 general election for state and county officers was 4,553,979, which constituted 36.2% of registered voters and 30.4% of the voting age population, using the 2000 census numbers.

In November 2000, the breakdown of election systems used by counties was:

Paper Ballot: 90 Optical Scan: 150 Punch Card: 14 Lever Machine: 3 DRE: 4

Attached as Table 2 (page 22) is a list of the county by county breakdown of voting systems. The Secretary of State is the authority charged with certifying voting systems for use in the state.

How the state will meet the Title III requirements is described in Table 1 below. The charts have been updated to reflect the current status and action planned as of January 2005.

Table 1

ayoftigesseromsseriderideric HEASEARROPHICTORISES - 2005	A CLEAGUE CONTRACTOR C	la volument de des
All voting systems shall permit a voter to verify/review selections before casting the vote.	Meets the requirement. Texas Election Code (TEC) Sections 64.007 and 129.001(b).	No action needed.
Allow voter to change or correct any error on the ballot before casting the vote.	Meets the requirement. TEC Section 64.007.	No action needed.
Prevent or alert voter if he/she overvotes on the ballot.	Partially meets the requirement. DRE systems and precinct count optical scan systems alert the voter of an overvote. Manually counted paper ballots, centrally counted optical scan ballots, and punch card ballots do not alert the voter of overvotes. Current process on mail-in paper absentee ballots would not meet the requirement.	A voter education campaign will be implemented in all centrally counted optical scan and paper ballot precincts no later than January 1, 2006, to educate voters on the effect of an overvote on these systems.

	Meets the requirement; state law currently requires real time audit of all election activity. TEC Section 122.001(a) Partially meets the requirement. 13 counties have adopted an accessible DRE voting system. Most counties do not meet this requirement.	Vpgrade existing voting systems or purchase new systems. All polling places will be
privacy and independence as other voters. This requirement may be met by having at least one DRE or other system equipped for individuals with disabilities at each polling site.		required to be equipped with at least one DRE no later than January 1, 2006 pursuant to House Bill 1549.
Voting systems shall provide alternative language accessibility pursuant to the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965.	All certified voting systems meet this requirement for Spanish language, and one voting system has been certified for the Vietnamese language.	No action needed.
All voting systems shall have error rates (machine errors only) that do not exceed the Federal Election Commission standards.	Meets the requirement. This requirement was added to state law in HB 1549.	No action needed.
A uniform definition of what constitutes a vote for each voting system in use in the state.	Meets this requirement. State law was passed to provide a uniform definition for what constitutes a vote. House Bill 1549 (2003)	No further action required.

raionorio (se mebrens 20		
A provisional voter is to be	Sintendia exportentation data de la constant	A cumplanted sex
allowed to vote a paper ballot or	State law was amended to provide procedures to meet this requirement effective January 1, 2004	No further action needed.
an electronic ballot upon the	pursuant to House Bill 1549. The Secretary of	nocicu.
completion of an affidavit. The ballot will be sealed in an	State has adopted administrative rules to	
envelope or electronically stored	provide specific procedures and has adopted forms to assist in the implementation of this	
separately from the regular votes.	new process.	
The provisional ballot is to be transported to the appropriate		
election officials for determination		
of eligibility and counted if voter		

In ovisional Volting and Volting Into sing to the Art of the Control of the Contr	Sec 302 and a second se	Activie Platined
Each voter who casts a provisional vote shall be given written information on how he or she can ascertain whether his or her vote was counted, and if not why.	State has developed administrative rules and has adopted forms to implement this requirement.	No further action needed.
Establish a free access system, such as toll-free phone number or Internet website, allowing provisional voters to ascertain whether their vote was counted, and if not why.	State rules require the provisional voter to be notified via mail whether the voter's ballot was counted, and if the ballot was not counted, the reason why it was not counted.	No further action needed.
Post in each polling place a sample version of the ballot that will be used on election day.	State law passed to make it mandatory to post a sample ballot at each polling location.	No further action needed.
Post information regarding the day of the election and polling hours.	State law passed to require this posting.	No further action needed.
Post general information on state and federal voting rights and the right to a provisional vote if the requirements to vote are met.	State has prescribed language on the voter information poster required to be posted at each polling place beginning January 1, 2004.	No further action needed.
Post general information on federal and state laws prohibiting acts of fraud and misrepresentation.	State has prescribed language on the voter information poster required to be posted at each polling place beginning January 1, 2004.	No further action needed.
Any voter who casts a vote as the result of a federal or state court order extending polling hours, shall do so on a provisional ballot, and it shall be kept separate from other provisional ballots.	State law amended to provide for this occurrence and law became effective January 1, 2004. Precinct election forms were designed to accommodate this occurrence.	No further action needed.

Agulicandas et a. uraventendramon-es (3)	NOCAL Designation of the second	
State shall implement a uniform, official, centralized, interactive	Does not meet the requirement.	State law was amended to require a
computerized statewide voter registration list.	Currently, 164 counties use the Secretary of State voter registration program to register and	statewide official list maintained at the
	maintain their lists of voters. The data is held at the Secretary of State's Office.	Secretary of State's office. The state

Computarzad statewia y mia z Registribus vicin HAWA Kajurenenia	Sec S032 A Sec Source S	Pagnonielamikos
	State law requires the state to maintain a copy of the list of registered voters, and counties have to update to the state database once a week. The state database is not considered the official list of voters.	completed the procurement process and signed a contract for the development of a complaint statewide system on November 8, 2004. Development of the system is underway.
Perform list maintenance to ensure only qualified voters appear on the list, including felons and deaths of registrants.	State meets this requirement. State receives information from other state agencies regarding deaths and felons and provides this information to county voter registrars on a weekly basis.	No action needed.
Ensure that only voters who are not registered or who are not eligible are removed from the computerized list.	State meets this requirement. State law prescribes narrow guidelines regarding canceling a voter's registration. Only with a positive name and identification number match can a voter be canceled. The local county voter registrar, not the state, cancels voters. Voter registrars may not cancel based on information provided by a vendor unless that information is verified by the voter registrar by a public record. TEC, Chapter 16 and Section 18.0121.	No action needed.
Ensure that voter registration records are accurate and updated regularly.	Does not meet the requirement.	State law was amended to require a statewide official list maintained at the Secretary of State's office. The state completed the procurement process and signed a contract for the development of a complaint statewide system on November 8, 2004. Development of the system is underway.
State to verify applicant's driver's license or social security number prior to approval of applicant.	Does not meet the requirement.	State law was amended to require a statewide official list maintained at the Sccretary of State's

(Computelized stateville) of a literature in the	Sec 203	
HAVA/Requirement.	State of Texas Current Status	Action Playmed
•		office. The state
		completed the
		procurement process
		and signed a contract
		for the development
		of a complaint
		statewide system on
		November 8, 2004.
		Development of the
		system is underway.
State to assign unique identifier if	Does not meet this requirement.	State law was
applicant does not have driver's		amended to require a
license or social security number.	į.	statewide official list
		maintained at the
		Secretary of State's
		office. The state
		completed the
		procurement process
•		and signed a contract
		for the development
	4	of a complaint
		statewide system on
	· .	November 8, 2004.
		Development of the
Require appropriate identification	State law was amended to require identification	system is underway. No further action
for first time voters if a	at time of registration for first time voters	required.
computerized list has not been	registering to vote by mail effective January 1,	required.
implemented.	2004.	
Voter registration application is	State has prescribed new form, and has	No further action
required to have additional	distributed to all counties	required.
nformation printed on it.	sion routed to all countries.	requireu.

2. How Title II requirement payments will be monitored and distributed for the purpose of meeting the mandates in Title III, including determining the eligibility for receipt of payments and our methods for monitoring the performance of the local entities' continued eligibility.

The SOS has developed an online grant application and management system. This system is essential for the SOS to establish an infrastructure and the necessary controls to effectively manage the HAVA funds and to accurately report the necessary programmatic and financial information to the federal government. Figure 1 (page 15) outlines the currently approved

requirements payments budget for the 2003 fiscal year appropriation and the proposed budget for the 2004 fiscal year appropriation. The following is a description of how the funding has and will be distributed to funding recipients as well as a description of the funding allotments to each county.

Grant Award Process

In September 2004, an award agreement was sent to each Texas county judge. As the chief executive officer of the county, the judge is required to sign the award agreement. To secure the funding, there are three basic steps:

- 1. The award agreement must be signed and returned to the Office of the Secretary of State.
- A resolution from the county's governing body (i.e., county commissioners court) must be submitted as well. Required language for the resolution is included in the award agreement.
- 3. The online forms located on the Texas HAVA online grant system must be satisfactorily completed. The forms require information such as the official county address as well as the county's Employer Identification Number, State Vendor ID (VIN), and 3-digit Mail Code. The State Vendor ID is assigned by the State Comptrollers Office when an entity receives funds from the state and is comprised of the federal ID number plus a few other digits. The mail code determines which account the funds will be direct deposited into or whether a state warrant will be mailed. The county financial officer (i.e., county auditor or treasurer) will have this information. The county is also required to enter a detailed budget for each funding allocation. Guidelines regarding eligible uses for each funding allocation are included in the award agreement as well as the online forms. Grant activities must also be entered into the grant system where applicable.

DEADLINE TO APPLY FOR FUNDING - The FY 2003 funds will be available through August 31, 2006. Because not all counties will develop their funding priorities at the same rate, there are no deadlines currently imposed by the SOS for the counties to complete the online forms. However, all counties are encouraged to submit the signed grant award agreement that was sent to the county judge along with the required resolution from the commissioners court as soon as possible. The SOS may impose deadlines if an excessive amount of time elapses and the county has taken no action to secure the funding.

RESOLUTION - In addition to the parties that typically sign a resolution for the county (e.g., the county judge and the commissioners), the county election official(s) and the county financial officer must also sign the resolution.

GRANT AWARD APPROVAL AND REQUESTING REIMBURSEMENT - After the SOS has received the signed agreement that was sent to the county judge, the required resolution from the commissioners court as outlined in the award agreement, and the online forms have been submitted via this system, the SOS will review the documents and online forms for accuracy and completeness. Upon SOS approval, an email notification will be sent to the county judge, the election official(s), and the financial officer (Note: an email notification will only be sent if the

user provides one when entering his or her contact information). At that time, the county financial officer will have access to submit reimbursement requests via the grant system.

Funding Allocations - Fiscal Year 2003 Appropriation

Accessible Voting System in Each Polling Place

These funds are to be used for reimbursement of county costs incurred as a result of obtaining a HAVA-compliant accessible voting system in each polling location. This requirement may be met by having at least one accessible direct recording electronic voting system ("DRE") or other system equipped for individuals with disabilities at each polling site. The reimbursable amount each county is eligible for is calculated based on the number of precincts (polling places) used during the 2000 federal election multiplied by \$3,000.

General HAVA Compliance

These funds may be used by the county for voter education, election worker education, upgrading voting systems to comply with new federal standards – including compatibility with the new statewide voter registration system, and acquiring an accessible voting system in each polling place. Priority will be given to acquiring an accessible voting system is each polling place because of the January 1, 2006 compliance mandate.

The reimbursable amount each county is eligible for is calculated based on the county's voting age population during the 2000 federal election as a percentage of the state's total voting age population and the total budgeted amount for general HAVA compliance. However, each county that would receive less than \$5,000 based on the formula will receive \$5,000.

Funding Allocations - Fiscal Year 2004 Appropriation

Because the FY 2003 allotment of funding will not cover all of the county costs to purchase an accessible voting system in each polling location, Texas has proposed to increase the Requirements Payments to the counties by 200 percent. By fully funding the costs associated with acquiring at least one accessible voting system for each polling location, counties will be less likely to object to the HAVA mandate. Additionally, the counties may seize the opportunity afforded by the available federal dollars to fully update their outdated voting systems, rather than simply purchasing the HAVA-required minimum of one accessible system per polling location. The SOS will likely amend the current award agreement between the state and the counties or draft a new agreement to accommodate the additional funding.

Grant Regulations and Grant Oversight

The Secretary of State will develop a monitoring plan in 2005. The monitoring function may be outsourced or may be handled internally or a combination of the two may be employed. This grant program falls under the general requirements of the Uniform Grant Management Standards ("UGMS") prescribed by the Texas Office of the Governor. UGMS prescribes a standard set of

financial management procedures and definitions and ensures accountability for expenditure of public funds. UGMS also incorporates the following federal regulations:

- OMB Circular A-87
- OMB Circular A-102
- "Common Rule", Administrative Requirements, 53 FR 8087, March 11, 1988
- OMB Circular A-133

Per the terms and conditions of the award agreement, any funding received by the county, regardless of the purpose, is contingent on each polling place within the county must have a voting system that is SOS-certified as accessible for individuals with disabilities, including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually-impaired, in a manner that provides the same opportunity for privacy and independence as other voters no later than January 1, 2006.

3. Voter Education, Election Official Education and Training, and Poll Worker Training

a. Voter Education Plan Goal

The state will develop a comprehensive voter education plan to educate voters on certified voting systems and the proper use of those voting systems, with special emphasis on accessibility issues as it relates to the use of voting systems. Components of the education plan will include the statewide voter registration system, and how to vote a provisional ballot. The state has a responsibility to ensure that the voting process and our systems of voting are accurate, secure, and accountable. Our voter outreach efforts will need to be designed to reflect and incorporate the diverse populations of Texas through a well-executed, adaptable program, delivered in an easy-to-use format, and in alternative formats for individuals with disabilities. The mediums for delivery of this voter education program will need to be equally diverse.

b. Educating the Voter

• The state surveyed the 254 counties, compiled a list of best practices, and developed resources to supplement existing training materials for the voter. The Secretary of State will release an RFP in 2005 for the HAVA Education piece that will be consistent with applicable state and federal laws and regulations, including the Texas Government Code and the Texas Building and Procurement Commission. HAVA funding will be used for education as it relates to Title III of HAVA, which includes educating the voter on: 1) How to verify/review selections before casting the vote. 2) How to change or correct any error on the ballot before casting the vote. 3) How to avoid over voting. 4) How individuals with disabilities, including non-visual accessibility for the blind and visually impaired, can access the voting system in a matter that provides the same opportunity for privacy and independence as other voters. 5) How the county's voting system provides alternative language accessibility pursuant to the requirements of Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act of 1965. 6) What constitutes the uniform definition of the voting

system(s) in use in the county? And 7) How to vote a provisional ballot, including written information on how the voter can ascertain whether his or her vote was counted, and if not, why.

Although HAVA funds were not spent on education prior to the 2004 elections we, at the state level:

- Revised all of our brochures, including Services Available to Voters with Special Needs, Texas Voting, Early Voting in Texas, and Volunteer Deputy Registrar Guide. Those brochures are on our web site and also available in hard copy.
- The following brochures have been developed, and are available via our web: Young Texas Voters; When Your Home is Your Polling Place; and Conducting a Successful Voter Registration Campaign.
- The following new items/brochures were developed and disseminated statewide to election officials and upon request to voters: What Every Texan Needs to Know About Elections in Texas (targeting First Time Voters); Voter Rights Poster and Overvote/Undervote Poster.
- We developed and disseminated the Project V.O.T.E. (Voters of Tomorrow through Education) Student Mock Election Curriculum for teachers regarding the conduct of a mock election, for Texas students, kindergarten through 12th grades. Over a half million students participated in the 2004 Texas Student mock election.
- A PowerPoint Presentation was developed titled "Voter Education-A General Overview." This presentation was/is designed for civic groups, charitable and non-profit organizations for conducting voter registration drives.
- The Secretary of State appointed a virtual voter education advisory committee composed of voter advocacy groups and other interested stakeholders to advise the Secretary of State on HAVA-related voter education materials and programs.

c. Election Officials Education and Training

- The state developed a comprehensive training component for Election Officials that included videos; pamphlets; updated handbooks; and an election-based training module prior to January 2004. The new training program and educational resources explain all the components of HAVA, including: overvote and undervote; provisional voting; DRE's; voters rights; the administrative hearing process; new voting system requirements; statewide voter registration system requirements; methods of poll worker training; accessibility for people with disabilities; and alternative language requirements.
- The state will look into the possibility of developing an outreach program
 working with the Department of Public Safety (DPS) to provide resources and
 materials to improve the voter registration process no later than July 2006.

- The state will continue to work proactively with election officials to assist and advise in the recruitment of college and university students as poll workers.
- The state will investigate the possible creation of an on-line training module for election officials, with a possible certification component no later than January 2006.

d. Training of Poll Workers

- The State has developed and disseminated statewide a new curriculum for Election Judges and Clerks, complete with a training video for preparing the polling place, qualifying voters, closing the polling place and discussing new law and HAVA. The curriculum for Election Judges and Clerks also has a testing component. We were successful in training over 49,000 poll workers before our 2004 Primary Elections.
- Regional schools for the training of election judges and clerks for the 2004
 Election were conducted prior to the Primary and General Elections.
 Secretary of State staff traveled the state, educating election officials about
 Texas law, HAVA, and in some locations, we partnered with the Coalition of
 Texans with Disabilities, who presented a unit on voters with disabilities and
 educating election officials on the rights of disabled voters.

4. How the state will adopt voting system guidelines consistent with Sec. 301 (Sec. 254, a, 4).

Voting System Standards

The state of Texas' voting systems standards contained at Section 122.001 of the Texas Election Code are already in substantial compliance with the requirements set out in Section 301(a)(1) of HAVA. Pursuant to an administrative rule adopted by the Secretary of State, Rule 81.61, before any voting system may be certified for use in a Texas election, the voting system must meet the voluntary voting systems standards promulgated by the Federal Election Commission. Texas Administrative Code § 81.61 (Tex. Sec. of State).

Overvote and Opportunity to Correct Ballot

All systems used in Texas allow a voter to change his or her vote. In a paper or optical scan ballot system, a voter may receive up to two replacement ballots if he or she makes an error marking the original ballot. Texas currently posts voting instructions that inform the voter of his or her right to replace a spoiled ballot.

Precinct-level optical scan voting systems inform the voter of an overvote in a particular race and give the voter an opportunity to correct the ballot. Texas Administrative Code § 81.52 (Tex. Sec. of State). Direct Recording Electronic voting systems ("DRE's") currently certified for use in Texas and mechanical lever machines do not allow for overvoting. In those entities using hand-counted paper ballot, central count optical scan, mechanical lever machines or punch card voting systems, the voter is not informed when he or she overvotes in a race. However, language will be added to voter instructions to inform voters of the

definition and consequences of an overvote, and Texas will establish a voter education program to explain the effect of overvoting. Punch card and lever voting systems will be phased out of usc. The Texas Legislature passed legislation this year to prohibit their use after January 1, 2006.

All of the systems used in Texas allow voters to view their choices before they cast their ballot. DRE voting systems are already required under current state law to present voters with a summary screen of the entire ballot to allow voters to review and change their choices prior to the final cast of the ballot.

Manual Audit

Electronic voting systems are required under state law to provide records from which the operation of the voting system may be audited. In addition, the Secretary of State has adopted an administrative rule, Section 81.61, which requires a real time audit log that records all significant election events and records the date and time of each event. Also, due to the fundamental inability of lever machines to produce a manual audit of its records, Texas has recently passed a law that prohibits the use of these systems in elections after January 1, 2006.

Accessibility

Under HAVA, the voting system must be accessible to individuals with disabilities in a manner that provides the same opportunity for access and participation as for other voters. HAVA provides that this requirement may be met by placing a DRE or other accessible voting unit in each polling place.

Texas law currently requires voting systems acquired on or after September 1, 1999 to comply with Section 504 of the federal Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. Section 794) and its subsequent amendments and Title II of the federal Americans with Disabilities Act (42 U.S.C. Section 12131 et seq.) and its subsequent amendments; and to provide a practical and effective means for voters with physical disabilities to cast a secret ballot. Detailed guidelines as to what constitutes an accessible voting system have been adopted by administrative rule which is enclosed. In all the remaining polling places throughout the state which use voting systems that do not meet the accessibility guidelines, counties will purchase at least 1 DRE per polling place in order to satisfy the requirements.

The state legislation implementing HAVA repeals the current voting system accessibility law and replaces it with language which tracks the federal law for accessibility. Until the Election Assistance Commission issues HAVA-compliant accessibility standards, Texas will continue to evaluate accessibility based on the state rule and FEC accessibility standards.

Language Accessibility

Because Texas is a state covered by Section 1973aa-1a and Section 1973b(f)(4) of the federal Voting Rights Act, voting systems are already required to provide alternative language

6th Day

Help America Vote Act 2002 Texas State Plan

accessibility to the ballot. Statewide, Spanish has been required since 1975 and ballots have been required to be in English and Spanish since that time. As a result of the 2000 census, in some areas of the state, Vietnamese, Kickapoo, and Pueblo languages are required. Review of whether a voting system provides alternative languages is already an element of voting system certification in Texas.

Error rates

HAVA requires that the counting error rate of voting systems must comply with the standards established under the Federal Election Commission. Secretary of State Administrative Rule 81.61 requires that before a voting system may be certified for use in Texas, the voting system must meet the voluntary voting system standards promulgated by the Federal Election Commission. In addition, the state legislation adopted to implement HAVA amends the Texas Election Code to require that all voting systems comply with the error rate standards adopted by the Federal Election Commission.

Definition of "Vote"

Current state law contains a detailed definition of a punch card vote. Texas has recently passed legislation that fully defines what constitutes a vote cast under hand-counted paper ballot, optical scan, and lever machine systems. See Exhibit D.

5. How the Election Fund will be established and managed (Sec. 254, a, 5).

The Texas Legislature created an "Election Improvement Fund" as a dedicated account in the general revenue fund and consists of federal funds designated for election improvement, matching funds from the state or a political subdivision, and depository interest earned on the assets of the fund. The state has appropriated funds to satisfy the five percent match requirement of Section 253 of HAVA in House Bill 1549, 78th Regular Session, 2003. The fund will be managed according to the Uniform Grant Management Standards prescribed by the Texas Office of the Governor and the terms and conditions of the federal grant award(s). The SOS has also hired a grant manager as well as an accountant to oversee and administer the grant program.

The state's proposed budget for activities under this part, based on the state's best estimates of the costs of such activities and the amount of funds to be made available.

The budget below is based on the state's best estimate. The SOS anticipates some adjustments will be necessary; however, the Secretary of State will reconvene the HAVA Advisory Committee for advice on how to reallocate the funds if the change is substantive. It should be noted that the "free access system for provisional voters has been adjusted to \$0 because the state is already in compliance with that requirement.

Figure 1

	FY03 Approp.		EVOA Ammen
Title I Federal Award:			FY04 Approp.
rio i i casiai imala.	Estimated Amount	A shoot Dood-or	1 1 1
Punch Card and Lever Replacement		Actual Budget	
Preliminary Planning For Statewide Voter Registration System	\$6,269,521	\$6,269,521	N/A
State Plan Administration	\$500,000	\$465,836	
Voter Education	\$ 2 ·· 4 million	\$3,726,690	
Election Official and Poli Worker Training	\$ 2 – 3 million	\$2,795,017	N/A
County Compatibility with New Otenwide Veter Device of	\$ 2 - 3 million	\$2,795,017	N/A
County Compatibility with New Statewide Voter Registration System	\$ 6 million	\$5,590,035	N/A)
County Education Fund	\$1 – 2 million	\$1,834,000	N/A
. Total:	\$ 19.77 - 24.77 million	\$23,476,116	N/A
Title II Federal Award:	\$57,504,778		\$103,187,171
Required State Match:	\$3,026,567		\$5,430,904
Total Available:	\$60,531,345		\$108,618,075
	Estimated Amount	Actual Budget	Proposed Budget
SOS Admin (State Plan Administration)	N/A	N/A	\$5,000,000
Free Access System for Provisional Voters	\$ 1 million	\$0	\$0
Statewide Voter Registration System	\$ 10 - 15 million	\$14.773.345	\$5,000,000
Accessible Voting System in Every Polling Place	\$ 25.5 million	\$25,758,000	A.,000,000
Grant Funding to Counties for HAVA (Title III) Compliance	\$ 20 million	\$20,000,000	2 > \$91,618,075
County Compatibility with New Statewide Voter Registration System	N/A	N/A	## 000 000
Voter Education, Election Official and Poll Worker Training	N/A	N/A	\$5,000,000
Total:	\$ 56.5 61.5 million	\$60,531,345	\$2,000,000
· oran.	Ψυσ.σ στ.σ πιικατι	\$00,031,345 <u>]</u>	\$108,618,075

7. Statement that the state will, in using the requirements payments, provide for maintaining the funding for activities funded by the payments at a level not less than the fiscal year ending before November 2000 (Sec. 254, a, 7).

The Secretary of State has determined that the activities funded by the requirements payments as outlined in this state plan will not reduce the level of expenditures maintained by the state for the fiscal year ending prior to November 2000. Additionally, since the initial state plan was filed, the SOS has determined that the requirements payments activities as outlined in this plan have never been funded by the state to the counties prior to the fiscal year ending before November 2000. Consequently, the counties need not certify they are in compliance with the maintenance of effort requirement as originally proposed as it is not applicable.

8. How the state will adopt performance goals and measures to determine success in carrying out the plan (Sec. 254, a, 8).

The Secretary of State and county election officials are responsible for ensuring the success in meeting each performance goal. Each county's voter registration and elections office also have a substantial responsibility in meeting performance goals in that the counties will monitor performance measures and will report to the state on a regular basis.

The performance goals include:

ELIMINATION OF PUNCH CARD VOTING AND LEVER EQUIPMENT

- a. Timetable: January 1, 2006
- Criteria: Replacement of punch card voting equipment and lever machines in 17 counties that used voting equipment in 2000.

- c. How criteria is measured: Assess 17 counties after January 1, 2006 to determine if any punch card or lever machines are being used in federal elections.
- d. The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

VOTING SYSTEM STANDARDS

a. VOTING SYSTEMS

- (1) Timetable: January 1, 2006
- (2) Criteria: All voting precincts in the state will have a voting system that provides voters an opportunity to check for and correct ballot errors in a private and independent manner, notifies the voter of any overvotes cast and the effect of casting an overvote, allows the voter to correct the overvote before the ballot is cast, has a manual audit capacity, and an error rate that does not exceed the existing rate established by the FEC or Office of Election Administration. For the precincts that do not have such a system in place, an extensive voter education program will be developed and used in each county. A program will be developed for each type of voting system and paper ballot to educate the voter on what constitutes a legal vote for each type of voting machine and how to correctly cast a ballot for each type of voting system.
- (3) How the criteria is judged: Assess all counties to ensure 100% participation in using voting systems that meet the HAVA requirements or using the education program developed by the Secretary of State.
- (4) The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

b. ACCESSIBLILITY FOR INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES

- (1) Timetable: January 1, 2006
- (2) Criteria: Provide at least one direct recording voting device in each polling place in the state that will allow voters with disabilities the opportunity to cast a ballot without assistance.
- (3) How criteria is judged: Assess each county to ensure 100% of the polling places have implemented a direct recording voting device that allows voters with disabilities the opportunity to cast a ballot without assistance.
- (4) The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

c. ALTERNATE LANGUAGE ACCESSIBILITY

- (1) Timetable: Currently
- (2) Criteria: Provide alternative language accessibility pursuant to the federal Voting Rights Act.

- (3) How criteria are judged: Texas has provided alternative language for all voting systems, voting materials and forms used in the polling place since 1975. Before a voting system is certified by the Secretary of State, the voting system must demonstrate alternate language accessibility.
- (4) The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

PROVISIONAL VOTING

a. PROVISIONAL BALLOTS PROVIDED

(1) Timetable: January 1, 2004

(2) Criteria: Provide provisional ballots to ensure no individual is turned away at the polls.

(3) How criteria is judged: Assess all counties to ensure the new procedures for provisional voting are in place and that all election workers have been trained on the new procedures.

(4) The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

b. FREE ACCESS SYSTEM

(1) Timetable: January 1, 2004

(2) Criteria: Implement a free access system in each county so that the voters can determine if their provisional ballot was counted.

- (3) How criteria is judged: Success of meeting this performance goal is based on the establishment of a free access system in each county so that voters can determine if their provisional ballot was counted.
- (4) The county election officials are responsible for meeting this measure with the advice and assistance of the Secretary of State.

c. INFORMATIONAL VOTING POSTER

(1) Timetable: January 1, 2004

(2) Criteria: Voter information must be posted at each polling place, to include: sample ballots; dates and hours of voting; instructions for voters registering by mail and for first time voters; voter rights (including the right to vote a provisional ballot); and legal notice prohibiting voter fraud and misrepresentation.

(3) How criteria is judged: Survey all counties to ensure that the voting poster is included with election supplies and that all election workers have been instructed to post such information in the polling place.

(4) The Secretary of State will prescribe and distribute informational posters to all counties prior to January 1, 2004. The county election officials are responsible for ensuring the poster is properly posted in each precinct.

STATEWIDE VOTER REGISTRATION SYSTEM

- COMPUTERIZED STATEWIDE VOTER LIST
 - (1) Timetable: January 1, 2006
 - (2) Criteria: Implementation of a single, uniform, official, centralized, interactive, computerized statewide voter registration list that is defined, maintained, and administered at the state level.
 - (3) How criteria is judged: Success of meeting this performance goal is based on the implementation of a statewide voter registration system that meets the requirements of HAVA.
 - (4) The Secretary of State in conjunction with the county election officials is responsible for meeting this measure.
- b. NEW VOTER REGISTRATION APPLICATION
 - (1) Timetable: January 1, 2004
 - (2) Criteria: Prescribe, print and distribute new voter registration applications that meet the requirements of HAVA.
 - (3) How criteria is judged: The Secretary of State will prescribe, print and distribute a new voter registration application to all counties prior to January 1, 2004.
 - (4) The Secretary of State in conjunction with the county election officials is responsible for meeting this measure.

The Secretary of State will collect specific data to identify the successes of each county as it relates to the implementation of the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA). The Secretary of State will compile the data in report(s) for tracking purposes and to share with interested parties such as the EAC. The report will include an indication of whether each county met the performance goals. If the Election Assistance Commission or any other federal agency should prescribe such a report or survey, the state will use the federal form in lieu of the state form.

9. Description of state based administrative complaint procedures (Sec. 254, a, 9):

The Secretary of State has adopted an administrative complaint procedure through its rulemaking authority. Complaints are limited to those arising from violations of Title III of HAVA. As required under Section 402(2) of HAVA, complaints shall be required to be in writing, signed by the complainant, and notarized. The Secretary of State will have authority to consolidate complaints for efficiency and to resolve any complaints through an informal process, if warranted.

Review of the complaint will be held pursuant to the right of notice, hearing, and adjudication as set out in the administrative rule.

10. A description of how payments for punch card replacement and early out money affects the activities under the plan, including the amount of funds available (Sec. 254, a, 10).

Punch card and lever voting system replacement award agreements were sent to all eligible counties in April 2004. The Title I funds will be distributed, administered, and monitored using the same standards as the requirements payments that are distributed to the counties.

11. Description of how the state will conduct ongoing management of the plan (Sec. 254, a, 11):

The Secretary of State has hired a HAVA grant manager, a HAVA grant accountant, a project manager to oversee the development and implementation of the HAVA-compliant statewide voter registration system, and will hire monitoring staff or outsource that function. All procurement with HAVA funds will be consistent with applicable state and federal laws and regulations, including the Texas Government Code and the Texas Building and Procurement Commission. All sub-awarded grants will include an award agreement with the terms and conditions governing the use of the funds and will adopt by reference the State of Texas Uniform Grant Management Standards, OMB Circular A-87, OMB Circular A-102, "Common Rule", Administrative Requirements, 53 FR 8087, March 11, 1988, and OMB Circular A-133.

If material changes to the state plan are necessary, the Secretary of State will propose the change in the Texas Administrative Register. In addition, the Secretary of State intends to continue working with the HAVA Advisory Committee as the plan is implemented. The State Plan provides a general framework of HAVA implementation in Texas, but the Secretary of State will continue, as needed, to adopt administrative rules to define specific procedures for provisional voting and other HAVA-related issues as well as modify and design forms as the implementation of HAVA continues to evolve. As rules are proposed and as new voter forms are drafted, the Secretary of State will distribute the drafts to the HAVA Advisory Committee for comments and suggestions.

 Description of how the plan reflects changes from the state plan for the previous fiscal year.

Each section has been updated accordingly and reflects the current state plan.

 A description of the committee that participated in the development of the plan (Sec. 254, a, 13).

An advisory committee was appointed by the Secretary of State to help develop the State Plan. We enlisted professional associations, voter advocacy groups and other relevant associations, and requested that each association appoint a representative to serve on the advisory committee. The Committee conducted public meetings on the following dates: April 3, 2003, May 1, 2003, June 27, 2003, February 20, 2004, and November 12, 2004. Minutes of all Committee meetings are posted on the Secretary of State website at http://www.sos.state.tx.us/elections/hava/index.shtml. The Preliminary Texas State Plan was

6th Day

Help America Vote Act 2002 Texas State Plan

posted on the web on July 25, 2003 and also published in the Texas Register on August 1, 2003. Public comment was accepted through September 2, 2003.

The proposed amended budget was posted on the Secretary of State agency website on November 5, 2004 and was discussed at the November 12, 2004 meeting of the HAVA Advisory Committee. In addition, notice of the proposed amended budget was published in the Texas Register on November 5, 2004.

20

JA_001400

Advisory Committee Members:

Teresa Aguirre
Texas Association of
Counties

Phil Barrett
Texas Department of
Information Resources

Paul Bettencourt
Harris County Tax AssessorCollector

Paulette Burke
Texas County & District
Clerks Association
Rockwall County Clerk

Brett Carr Senate State Affairs

The Honorable Mary Denny Texas State Representative Chair, House Elections Committee

Judge Robert Eckels
County Judges and
Commissioners Association

Frank Elder Assistant Chief Texas Department of Public Safety

Claude Foster ACLU of Texas, Inc.

Barbara Hankins
Texas League of Women
Voters

David Hanna
Texas Legislative Council

Beverly Kaufman Harris County Clerk

Bob Lydia President NAACP

Molly Beth Malcolm Chairwoman Texas Democratic Party

Germaine Martinez
Program Specialist
Texas Department of Public
Safety

The Honorable Jane Nelson Texas State Senator

Jodi Park Coalition of Texans with Disabilities

Nina Perales MALDEF

Sharon Rowe
President Texas Association
of Elections Administrators
Collin County Elections
Administrator

Rudy Sandoval Chief of Staff LULAC

Michael Scholfield
Assistant General Counsel
Governor's Policy
Office of the Governor

Jonas Schwartz Program Services Manager Advocacy, Inc.

21

Bruce Sherbet
Dallas County Elections
Administrator

Sandra Vice State Auditor's Office

Bea Westbrook
President
Texas Association of Tax
Assessor-Collectors
Newton County Tax Assessor
Collector

Chad Wilbanks Texas Republican Party

Don Willett
Deputy Attorney General,
General Counsel
Office of the Texas Attorney
General

Filed 06/20/12

Page 36 of 219

6th Day

82nd Legislature

00016284

TX_00204885

JA_001402

Table 2							
					FY03 Approp.		FY04 Approp.
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	Generat HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
ANDERSON	Optical Scan	25	43,678	\$7,000	\$75,000.00	\$58.022	\$266,341
ANDREWS	Paper	5	8,903	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$11,827	\$53.714
ANGELINA	Optical Scan	40	57,974	\$7,000	\$120,000.00	\$77.013	\$394,466
ARANSAS	Optical Scan	7	17,151	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$22,784	\$87,665
ARCHER	Optical Scan	14	6,358	\$7,000	\$42,000,00	\$8,446	\$101,005
ARMSTRONG	Paper	9	1,589	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$5,000	\$64,071
ATASCOSA	Optical Scan	25	26,373	\$7,000	\$75,000.00	\$35,034	\$220,314
AUSTIN	Optical Scan	19	17,215	\$7,000	\$57,000.00	\$22,869	\$159,915
BAILEY	Paper	8	4,597	\$7.000	\$24,000.00	\$6,107	\$60,281
BANDERA	Optical Scan	12	13,292	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$17,657	\$107,434
BASTROP	Optical Scan	22	41,589	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$55,247	\$242,765
BAYLOR	Paper	6	3,135	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46.051
BEE	Optical Scan	18	24,794	\$7,000	\$54,000.00	\$32,937	\$174,067
BELL	AVM	43	169,236	\$9,000	\$129,000.00	\$224,815	\$708,419
BEXAR	Optical Scan	626	996,458	\$11,000	\$1,878,000.00	\$1,323,705	\$6,410,551
BLANCO	Paper	9	6,368	\$7,000	\$27,000,00	\$8,459	\$70,998
BORDEN	Paper	8	550	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
BOSQUE	Optical Scan	18	13,003	\$7,000	\$54,000.00	\$17,273	\$142,706
BOWIE	Optical Scan	37	67,135	\$7,000	. \$111,000.00	\$89,183	\$400,812
BRAZORIA	Punch Card	68	172,664	\$9,000	\$204,000.00	\$229,369	\$867,704
BRAZOS	Punch Card	109	119,680	\$9,000	\$327,000.00	\$158,984	\$973,052
BREWSTER	Paper	8	6,902	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$9,169	\$66,411
BRISCOE	Paper	7	1.305	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
BROOKS	Optical Scan	10	5.459	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$7,252	\$74,587
BROWN	Optical Scan	18	27,943	\$7,000	\$54,000.00	\$37,120	\$182,443
BURLESON	Optical Scan	16	12,047	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$16,003	\$128,149
BURNET	Optical Scan	24	25,779	\$7,000	\$72,000.00	\$34,245	\$212.727
CALDWELL	Optical Scan	20	23,068	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$30,644	
CALHOUN	Optical Scan	30	14.767	\$7,000	\$90,000.00	Address to the second of the management of the second of t	\$181,490
CALLAHAN	Optical Scan	8	9.527	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$19,617	\$219,478
CAMERON	Optical Scan	84	221,932	\$9,000	\$252,000.00	\$12,656	\$73,393
CAMP	Paper	13	8,447	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$294,817	\$1,094,853
CARSON	Paper	10	4.700	\$7,000		\$11,221	\$100,554
	1		4,700	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$6,244	\$72,568

Filed 06/20/12

Page 37 of 219

175

00016285

TX_00204886

JA_001403

					FY03 Approp.		FY04 Approp.
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
CASS	Optical Scan	26	22,869	\$7,000	\$78,000.00	\$30.379	\$217,001
CASTRO	Paper	9	5,541	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$7,361	\$68.798
CHAMBERS	Punch Card	14	18,507	\$7,000	\$42,000.00	\$24,585	\$133,318
CHEROKEE	Optical Scan	29	34,383	\$7,000	\$87,000.00	\$45.675	\$265,645
CHILDRESS	Paper	5	5,989	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$7.956	\$45,963
CLAY	Paper	17	8,271	\$7,000	\$51,000.00	\$10,987	\$124,113
COCHRAN	Paper	8 1	2,554	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
COKE	Paper	8	2,922	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
COLEMAN	Paper	15	7,053	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$9,369	\$108,860
COLLIN	Punch Card	127	350,368	\$9,000	\$381,000.00	\$465,432	\$1.694,753
COLLINGSWORTH	Paper	8	2,360	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
COLORADO	Optical Scan	19	15,171	\$7,000	\$57,000,00	\$20,153	\$154,479
COMAL	Optical Scan	31	58,107	\$7,000	\$93.000.00	\$77,190	\$340,759
COMANCHE	Paper	17	10,475	\$7,000	\$51,000,00	\$13.915	\$129,975
CONCHO	Paper	9	3,328	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$5,000	\$64,071
COOKE	Optical Scan	26	26,421	\$7,000	\$78,000,00	\$35,098	\$226,448
CORYELL	Optical Scan	21	55,305	\$7,000	\$63,000,00	\$73,468	\$273,240
COTTLE	Paper	6	1,448	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
CRANE .	Paper	5	2,722	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,031
CROCKETT	Optical Scan	5	2,914	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
CROSBY	Paper	11	4.898	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$6,507	
CULBERSON	Paper	7	2,018	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$79,101
DALLAM	Paper	10	4,244	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,638	\$52,058
DALLAS	Optical Scan/DRE	791	1,599,868	\$11,000	\$2.373.000.00	\$2,125,280	\$71,355
DAWSON	Paper	12	11.148	\$7,000	\$36.000.00		\$9,006,595
DEAF SMITH	Optical Scan	9	12,380	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$14,809	\$101,732
DELTA	Paper	11	3.964	\$7,000	THE R. P. LEWIS CO., LANSING MICHIGAN PRINTED	\$16,446	\$86,988
DENTON	Optical Scan	126	312,866	\$9,000	\$33,000.00	\$5,266	\$76,617
DEWITT	Paper	17	15,253	\$7,000	\$378,000.00	\$415,614	\$1,588,999
DICKENS	Paper	7	2.250		\$51,000.00	\$20,262	\$142,683
DIMMIT	Optical Scan	' 8	6,847	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
DONLEY	Paper	10		\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$9,096	\$66,265
DUVAL	Optical Scan	12	2,972	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,000	\$70,078
EASTLAND			9,252	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$12,290	\$96,689
ENGILAND	Optical Scan	10	14,050	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$18,664	\$97,437

Page 38 of 219

6th Day

82nd Legislature

00016286

TX_00204887

JA_001404

					51/00 4		FY04
					FY03 Approp.		Approp.
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
ECTOR	Punch Card	42	84,303	\$7,000	\$126,000.00	\$111,989	\$476,509
EDWARDS	Paper	6	1,546	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
ELLIS	Optical Scan	60	77,716	\$7,000	\$180,000.00	\$103,239	\$567,109
EL PASO	Punch Card/DRE	156	462,199	\$9,000	\$468,000.00	\$613,990	\$2,166,393
ERATH	Optical Scan	27	24,889	\$7,000	\$81,000.00	\$33,063	\$228,380
FALLS	Paper	13	13,440	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$17,854	
FANNIN	Optical Scan	20	23,992	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$31,871	\$113,834 \$183,947
FAYETTE	Optical Scan	28	16,747	\$7,000	\$84,000.00	\$22,247	
FISHER	Paper	11	3.304	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$5,000	\$212,731 \$76,085
FLOYD	Paper	12	5.332	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$7.083	\$86,262
FOARD	Paper	5	1,203	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40.045
FORT BEND	Optical Scan	104	240.980	\$9,000	\$312,000.00	\$320,120	\$1,265,650
FRANKLIN	Paper	10	7,159	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$9,510	\$79,108
FREESTONE	Optical Scan	16	13,645	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$18,126	\$132,400
FRIO	Optical Scan	11	11,592	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$15,399	\$96,906
GAINES	Optical Scan	9	9,402	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$12,490	\$79,068
GALVESTON	Optical Scan	77	183,289	\$9,000	\$231,000,00	\$243,483	\$950,024
GARZA	Paper	10	3,506	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,000	\$70,078
GILLESPIE	Optical Scan	16	16,327	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$21,689	\$139.533
GLASSCOCK	Paper	5	935	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40.045
GOLIAD	Optical Scan	11	5.135	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$6,821	\$79.732
GONZALES	Optical Scan	16	13,421	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$17,829	\$131.804
GRAY	Optical Scan	15	17,282	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$22,958	\$136,067
GRAYSON	Optical Scan	54	82,620	\$7,000	\$162,000.00	\$109,753	To a terminal and the same of
GREGG	Optical Scan	23	81,588	\$7,000	\$69,000.00	\$108,382	\$544,113
GRIMES	Optical Scan	21	17,715	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$23,533	\$355,160
GUADALUPE	Optical Scan/DRE	83	63,693	\$7,000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		\$173,259
HALE	Paper	19	25,532	\$7,000	\$249,000.00	\$84,610	\$667,965
HALL	Paper	8	25,532		\$57,000.00	\$33,917	\$182,037
HAMILTON	Optical Scan	15	6,270	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
HANSFORD	Paper	9	3,795	\$7,000 \$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$8,329	\$106,777
HARDEMAN	Paper				\$27,000.00	\$5,041	\$64,154
HARDIN	Optical Scan	6	3,526	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
HARRIS	Punch Card	18	34,715	\$7,000	\$54,000.00	\$46,116	\$200,455
חטטעוס	Fullen Card	935	2,416,022	\$11,000	\$2,805,000.00	\$3,209,467	\$12,042,351

Case 1:12-cv-00128-RMC-DST-RLW

Filed 06/20/12 Page 39 of 219

JA_001405

_00016287

,			
,			
)			
)			
,			
ı			

TX_00204888

					FY04 Approp.		
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
HARRISON	Optical Scan	29	45,441	\$7,000	\$87,000.00	\$60,364	\$295,057
HARTLEY	Paper	7	4,385	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,825	\$53,710
HASKELL	Paper	11	4,646	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$6,172	\$78,431
HAYS	Punch Card	35	73,683	\$7,000	\$105,000.00	\$97.881	\$406.215
HEMPHILL	Paper	9	2,412	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$5,000	\$64.071
HENDERSON	Optical Scan	31	55,426	\$7,000	\$93,000.00	\$73,628	\$333,629
HIDALGO	Optical Scan	95	368,461	\$9,000	\$285,000.00	\$489,467	\$1,550,662
HILL	Optical Scan	28	23,961	\$7,000	\$84,000.00	\$31,830	\$231,918
HOCKLEY	Optical Scan	16	16,098	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$21,385	\$138,924
HOOD	Votronic II	16	31,407	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$41,721	\$179.643
HOPKINS	Optical Scan	22	23,605	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$31,357	\$194,931
HOUSTON	Optical Scan	22	17,807	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$23,655	\$179,510
HOWARD	Punch Card	21	25,488	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$33,859	\$193,933
HUDSPETH	Paper	12	2,203	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$5,000	\$82,091
HUNT	Optical Scan	36	56,268	\$7,000	\$108,000.00	\$74,747	\$365,902
HUTCHINSON	Optical Scan	15	17,310	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$22,995	\$136,141
IRION	Paper	6	1.298	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
JACK	Paper	11	6,712	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$8,916	\$83,926
JACKSON	Paper	13	10,448	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$13.879	\$105,876
JASPER	Optical Scan	20	26,165	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$34,758	\$189,727
JEFF DAVIS	Paper	6	1,668	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46.051
JEFFERSON	Punch Card	106	186,727	\$9,000	\$318,000.00	\$248,050	
JIM HOGG	Optical Scan	5	3.613	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$1,133,363
JIM WELLS	Optical Scan	22	26,975	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$35,834	\$40,045
JOHNSON	Optical Scan	35	90,294	\$7,000	\$105,000.00	\$119.947	\$203,895
JONES	Optical Scan	16	16,111	\$7,000			\$450,397
KARNES	Optical Scan	22	12.081	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$21,402	\$138,959
KAUFMAN	Optical Scan	35	50,486	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$16,049	\$164,280
KENDALL	Optical Scan	12	17,277		\$105,000.00	\$67,066	\$344,516
KENEDY	Optical Scan	7		\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$22,951	\$118,033
KENT	Paper	7	293	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
KERR	Optical Scan		682	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
KIMBLE		17	33,760	\$7,000	\$51,000.00	\$44,847	\$191,908
KING	Paper	8	3,412	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
MING	Paper	5	236	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045

82nd Legislature

Regular Session

6th Day

TX_00204889

JA_001406

ux.

					FY04 Approp.		
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
KINNEY	Paper	5	2,511	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
KLEBERG	Optical Scan	31	22,949	\$7,000	\$93,000.00	\$30,486	\$247,247
KNOX	Paper	11	3,073	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$5,000	\$76.085
LAMAR	Optical Scan	33	35,831	\$7,000	\$99,000,00	\$47,598	\$293,524
LAMB	Paper	13	10,353	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$13,753	\$105,624
LAMPASAS	Optical Scan	10	12,864	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$17,089	\$94,282
LASALLE	Optical Scan	7	4,143	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,504	\$53.066
LAVACA	Optical Scan	20	14,562	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$19,344	\$158,866
LEE	Paper	13	11,148	\$7,000	\$39,000,00	\$14,809	\$107,738
LEON	Optical Scan	15	11,610	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$15,423	\$120,980
LIBERTY	Optical Scan	30	50,777	\$7,000	\$90,000.00	\$67,453	\$315,257
LIMESTONE	Optical Scan	21	16.451	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$21,854	The second secon
LIPSCOMB	Paper	10	2,214	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,000	\$169,897
LIVE OAK	Paper	15	9,570	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$12,713	\$70,078 \$115,555
LLANO	Optical Scan	13	14,333	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$19,040	\$116,210
LOVING	Paper	5	54	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
LUBBOCK	Optical Scan	94	180.367	\$9,000	\$282,000.00	\$239,601	
LYNN	Paper	15	4.506	\$7.000	\$45,000.00	\$5,986	\$1,044,366 \$102,085
MADISON	Optical Scan	9	10.207	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$13,559	
MARION	Paper	16	8,496	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$11,286	\$81,209
MARTIN	Paper	10	3,136	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,000	\$118,705
MASON	Optical Scan	9	2,902	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$5,000	\$70,078
MATAGORDA	Optical Scan	19	26.575	\$7,000	\$57,000.00		\$64,071
MAVERICK	Optical Scan	15	29,838	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$35,302 \$39.637	\$184,811
MCCULLOCH	Paper	11	6,019	\$7,000			\$169,463
MCLENNAN	Optical Scan	98	156,687	The state of the s	\$33,000.00	\$7,996	\$82,083
MCMULLEN	Paper	6	652	\$9,000	\$294,000.00	\$208,145	\$1,005,409
MEDINA	Optical Scan	24		\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
MENARD	Paper	7	27,925	\$7,000	\$72,000.00	\$37,096	\$218,435
MIDLAND	—		1,788	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
MILAM	Optical Scan	54	80,975	\$7,000	\$162,000.00	\$107,568	\$539,737
MILLS	Optical Scan	22	17,582	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$23,356	\$178,912
	Paper	11	3,835	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$5,094	\$76,274
MITCHELL	Paper	7	7,777	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$10,331	\$62,732
MONTAGUE	Optical Scan	15	14,528	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$19,299	\$128,742

00016289

TX_00204890

JA_001407

					EVOC 1		FY04
				ļ	FY03 Approp.		Approp.
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA
MONTGOMERY	Optical Scan	73	207,036	\$9,000	\$219,000,00	\$275,029	Comp. Funding
MOORE	Optical Scan	9	13,368	\$7,000	\$27,000.00		\$989,159
MORRIS	Optical Scan	11	9,759	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$17,758	\$89,616
MOTLEY	Paper	7	1,084	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$12,964 \$5,000	\$92,030
NACOGDOCHES	Optical Scan	29	44,995	\$7,000	\$87,000.00	\$59,772	\$52,058
NAVARRO	Optical Scan	35	32,830	\$7,000	\$105,000.00		\$293,871
NEWTON	Optical Scan	22	11,127	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$43,612	\$297,555
NOLAN	Optical Scan	10	11,521	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$14,781	\$161,743
NUECES	Optical Scan	123	224,528	\$9,000	\$369,000.00	\$15,305	\$90,710
OCHILTREE	Paper	5	6,254	\$7,000		\$298,265	\$1,336,019
OLDHAM	Paper	8	1,420	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$8,308	\$46,668
ORANGE	Optical Scan	30	61,783	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
PALO PINTO	Optical Scan	20	20,004	\$7,000	\$90,000.00	\$82,073	\$344,530
PANOLA	Optical Scan	22	17,015		\$60,000.00	\$26,574	\$173,340
PARKER	Optical Scan	34	64,139	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$22,603	\$177,403
PARMER	Optical Scan	10	6,721	\$7,000 \$7,000	\$102,000.00	\$85,203	\$374,823
PECOS	Optical Scan	10	12,160	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$8,928	\$77,943
POLK	Optical Scan	21	31,698	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$16,153	\$92,410
POTTER	Optical Scan	32	81.747	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$42,108	\$210,450
PRESIDIO	Paper		4.915		\$96,000.00	\$108,594	\$409,643
RAINS	Paper	8 8	6,968	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$6,529	\$61,126
RANDALL	Optical Scan	32	77,100	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$9,256	\$66,587
REAGAN	Paper	$\frac{3z}{7}$		\$7,000	\$96,000.00	\$102,420	\$397,283
REAL	Paper		2,189	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
RED RIVER	Paper		2,333	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
REEVES	Punch Card	26	10,900	\$7,000	\$78,000.00	\$14,480	\$185,166
REFUGIO		13	9,214	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$12,240	\$102,594
ROBERTS	Optical Scan	11	5,784	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$7,684	\$81,458
Contraction to the second	Paper	6 ;	665	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
ROBERTSON	Optical Scan	17	11,485	\$7,000	\$51,000.00	\$15,257	\$132,661
ROCKWALL	Optical Scan	14	30,127	\$7,000	\$42,000.00	\$40,021	\$164,225
RUNNELS	Paper	10	8,398	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$11,156	\$82,404
RUSK	Optical Scan	38	35,581	\$7,000	\$114,000.00	\$47,266	\$322,892
SABINE	Optical Scan	11	8,258	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$10,970	\$88,038
SAN AUGUSTINE	Optical Scan	12	6,822	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$9.062	\$90,225

Page 42 of 219

82nd Legislature

Regular Session

6th Day

00016290

TX_00204891

JA_001408

					FY03 Approp.		FY04
					TTOS Approp.		Approp.
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimum	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA
SAN JACINTO	Optical Scan	12	16,647	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	000.444	Comp. Funding
SAN PATRICIO	Optical Scan	34	46,260	\$7,000		\$22,114	\$116,358
SAN SABA	Optical Scan	8	4,460	\$7,000	\$102,000.00 \$24,000.00	\$61,452	\$327,269
SCHLEICHER	Paper	5	2.115	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,925	\$59,916
SCURRY	Optical Scan	12	12.245	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
SHACKELFORD	Paper	8	2,421	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$16,266	\$104,649
SHELBY	Optical Scan	15	18,518	\$7,000	\$45,000.00	\$5,000	\$58,065
SHERMAN	Paper	8	2,186	\$7,000	\$24,000.00 \$24,000.00	\$24,599	\$139,354
SMITH	Punch Card	72	128,208	\$9,000		\$5,000	\$58,065
SOMERVELL	Paper	7	4.874	\$7,000	\$216,000.00	\$170,313	\$773,487
STARR	Optical Scan	11	33.555	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$6,475	\$55,011
STEPHENS	Optical Scan	11	7,313	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$44,575	\$155,323
STERLING	Paper	5	993	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$9,715	\$85,525
STONEWALL	Paper	10	1,307	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
SUTTON	Paper	6	2,904	\$7,000	\$30,000.00	\$5,000	\$70,078
SWISHER	Paper	11 ;	6.040	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
TARRANT	Optical Scan	535	1,039,747	\$11,000	\$33,000.00	\$8,024	\$82,139
TAYLOR	Punch Card	39	92.895	\$7,000	\$1,605,000.00	\$1,381,210	\$5,979,082
TERRELL	Paper	5	794	\$7,000	\$117,000.00	\$123,403	\$481,342
TERRY	Optical Scan	9	9,143	\$7,000	\$15,000.00	\$5,000	\$40,045
THROCKMORTON	Paper	6	1.384	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$12,146	\$78,379
TITUS	Optical Scan	20	19,600	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$5,000	\$46,051
TOM GREEN	Optical Scan	60	76.879	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$26,037	\$172,266
TRAVIS	Optical Scan	230	619,336	THE SERVICE AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE	\$180,000.00	\$102,127	\$564,883
TRINITY	Optical Scan	20	10.625	\$11,000	\$690,000.00	\$822,732	\$3,028,838
TYLER	Optical Scan	18	16,034	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$14,114	\$148,394
UPSHUR	Optical Scan	21		\$7,000	\$54,000.00	\$21,300	\$150,767
UPTON	DRE	7	25,771	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$34,234	\$194,686
UVALDE			2,406	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$5,000	\$52,058
VAL VERDE	Optical Scan	16	17,795	\$7,000	\$48,000.00	\$23,639	\$143,438
VAN ZANDT	Optical Scan	21	30,474	\$7,000	\$63,000.00	\$40,482	\$207,195
VICTORIA	Optical Scan	29	35,841	\$7,000	\$87,000.00	\$47,612	\$269,523
	AVM	36	59,586	\$7,000	\$108,000.00	\$79,155	\$374,727
WALKER	Optical Scan	19	50,642	\$7,000	\$57,000.00	\$67,273	\$248,824
WALLER	Optical Scan	20	24,277	\$7,000	\$60,000.00	\$32,250	\$184,705

Case 1:12-cv-00128-RMC-DST-RLW

Document 208-1 Filed 06/20/12 Page 43 of 219

TX_00204892

JA_001409

FUNDING ALLOCATIONS TO TEXAS COUNTIES

					FY04 Approp.		
COUNTY	VOTING SYSTEM	PRECINCTS	VOTING AGE POP.	County Education Fund	Accessible Voting System	General HAVA Compl. w/ \$5,000 Minimurn	200% FY03 Accessibility and Gen. HAVA Comp. Funding
WARD	Optical Scan	9	7,573	\$7,000	\$27,000.00	\$10,060	\$74,203
WASHINGTON WEBB	Optical Scan	22	22,868	\$7,000	\$66,000.00	\$30.378	\$192.971
WHARTON	Optical Scan	42	123,255	\$9,000	\$126,000.00	\$163,733	\$580,113
WHEELER	Optical Scan	23	29,351	\$7,000	\$69,000.00	\$38,990	\$216,221
WICHITA	Paper	11	3,969	\$7,000	\$33,000.00	\$5,272	\$76,630
	Punch Card	53	98,544	\$7,000	\$159,000.00	\$130,907	\$580,460
WILBARGER WILLACY	Paper	13	10,582	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$14.057	\$106,233
	Optical Scan	13	13,730	\$7,000	\$39.000.00	\$18,239	\$114,606
WILLIAMSON	Optical Scan	85	175,065	\$9,000	\$255,000.00	\$232,558	\$976,204
WILSON	Optical Scan	17	22,956	\$7,000	\$51,000,00	\$30,495	\$163,172
WINKLER	Optical Scan	6	5,033	\$7,000	\$18,000.00	\$6,686	\$49,427
WISE	Optical Scan	23	34,990	\$7,000	\$69,000.00	\$46,481	\$231,220
WOOD	Optical Scan	12	28,725	\$7,000	\$36,000.00	\$38,159	\$148,483
YOAKUM	Optical Scan	71	4,972	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$6,605	\$55,271
OUNG	Paper	13	13,458	\$7,000	\$39,000.00	\$17,878	\$113,882
ZAPATA	Optical Scan	8	8,157	\$7,000	\$24,000.00	\$10,836	\$69,749
ZAVALA	Optical Scan	7	7,644	\$7,000	\$21,000.00	\$10,154	\$62,378
		8,586	14,965,061	\$1,834,000	\$25,758,000	\$20,000,000	\$91,618,074

Chart D-Defi	nitions of "Vote"
Punch Card	Current law-Section 127.130(d) of the Texas Election Code:
	(d) Subject to Subsection (e), in any manual count conducted under this code, a vote on a ballot on which a voter indicates a vote by punching a hole in the ballot may not be counted unless:
	(1) at least two corners of the chad are detached;
	(2) light is visible through the hole;
	(3) an indentation on the chad from the stylus or other object is present and indicates a clearly ascertainable intent of the voter to vote; or
	(4) the chad reflects by other means a clearly ascertainable intent of the voter to vote.
	(e) Subsection (d) does not supersede any clearly ascertainable intent of the voter.
Optical Scan, Direct Recording	Effective January 1, 2004, Section 65.009(d) of the Texas Election Code will provide:
Electronic, and Paper Ballot Systems	The intent of the voter in marking a ballot may be determined by: (1) a distinguishing mark adjacent to the name of a candidate or political party or a voting choice associated with a proposition; (2) an oval, box, or similar marking clearly drawn around the name of a candidate or political party or a voting choice associated with a proposition; (3) a line drawn through: (A) the names of all candidates in a manner that indicates a preference for the candidates not marked if the names of the candidates not marked do not exceed the number of persons that may be elected to that office; (B) the name of each political party except one in a manner that clearly indicates a preference for the political party not marked; or (C) a voting choice associated with a proposition in a manner that clearly indicates a preference for the other voting choice associated with the proposition; or (4) any other evidence that clearly indicates the intent of the voter in choosing a candidate or political party or deciding on a proposition.

LETTER FROM TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

Senator Van de Putte submitted the following letter relating to SB 14:

TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

5805 N. LAMAR BLVD • BOX 4087 • AUSTIN, TEXAS 78773-0001 512/424-2000 www.txdps.state.tx.us





January 26, 2011

Dear Texas State Senators,

Please find attached the responses to Senate questions regarding the Department of Public Safety's administration of the Texas Driver License and Identification Card programs.

Thank you for the opportunity to serve as a resource witness to the Senate Committee of the Whole on January 25, 2011. The Department currently has 307 driver license offices that serve the over 15 million Texas driver license holders and over 750,000 identification card holders in Texas.

If you have any additional questions, please contact Rebecca Davio, Assistant Director for Driver Licenses at 512-424-5232 or Rebecca.davio@txdps.state.tx.us

Sincerely,

Steven C. McCraw

Director

Texas Department of Public Safety

Bown CM Caw

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER COURTESY • SERVICE • PROTECTION

JA 001411

Response to Questions from Senate Committee of the Whole

Please validate the map provided by Legislative Council.

The Driver License Division has reviewed the map and determined that it is correct.

<u>Please comment on the Department's 5% reduction and 10% proposed reduction in the Legislative Appropriations Request.</u>

The Department lost \$14.6 million due to the 5% cut in General Revenue that we faced. This includes five Department offices that might have to close. These are not necessarily Driver License offices. The Department's 10% proposed reduction schedule identified that 11 DPS offices might have to close. These are not necessarily Driver License offices. They are offices with various Department services. The Business Intelligence Analysis project will identify the optimum placement of Driver License offices throughout the state. The Department also is not able to determine at this time how a reduction in funds will affect operating hours of Driver License offices.

Are there any anticipated closures of Driver License offices?

The Driver License Division is conducting a Business Intelligence Analysis to determine the most efficient ways to allocate scarce resources and to best serve Texas residents, statewide. At present, there are no anticipated closures. In the event there is an equipment failure in the future, we may consider temporarily closing a mobile office in the near term.

Does the Department have a comment on the Criminal Justice Impact statement for SB 14?

The Department has no comment on the criminal justice impact statement as we are not the appropriate entity to comment on the potential overcrowding of jails.

Are there Driver License offices within the 610 loop?

No. The closest office to 610 is Dacoma. It is at 290 @ 610 North.

Driver License Offices and Hours in the Houston area

- Dacoma 8-5 except Tuesday 8-7
- Gessner 8-6 Tuesday and Thursday, 8-5 M, W, F
- Grant Road 8-5 except Wednesday 8-7
- Townhurst 8-5 except Thursday 8-7
- Winkler 8-5 except Thursday 8-7
- Tidwell 8-5 except Thursday 8-7
- Vantage Parkway 8-5 except Tuesday 8-7
- We also have offices in Baytown, Humble, Pasadena, and Webster all within Harris County

Driver License Offices and Hours in the DFW metro area

Dallas

- Downtown 8-5 no exams, duplicates and renewals only (this office is in a City of Dallas building)
- East (Northwest Highway) 8-5 except Wednesday 8-7

- Dallas Southwest (Red Bird Center Drive) 8-5 except Wednesday 8-7
- We also have offices in Carrollton, Garland, Grand Prairie, Irving, and Cedar Hill all within Dallas County

Fort Worth

- Woodway Drive 7:30-5 except Monday 7:30-6
- We also have offices in Arlington, Hurst, and Lake Worth all within Tarrant County

What is the protocol for confiscated licenses?

Texas Transportation Code §§ 524.011(b)(2) and (3), and 724.032(2) and (3) provide the requirements for a peace officer to confiscate a driver license where a driver is arrested for an alcohol related offense under the Administrative License Revocation program(ALR) in accordance to Section 49.04, 49.045, or 49.06, Penal Code, or an offense under Section 49.07 or 49.08 of that code involving the operation of a motor vehicle or watercraft. These statutes provide that the peace officer shall take possession of any driver license issued by this state and held by the person arrested, and issue a temporary driving permit to the person unless department records show or the officer otherwise determines that the person does not hold a driver's license to operate a motor vehicle in this state.

This permit (form DIC-25), handwritten by the peace officer, is part of the arrest documentation provided to the driver and contains the driver's name, driver license number, date of birth, address, physician description including race, sex, height, weight, eyes, and hair color. This temporary driving permit does not contain a photograph of the driver. A temporary driving provision issued under these sections of the Transportation Code expires on the 41st day after the date of issuance, which coincides with the effective date of the driver license suspension. Commercial drivers are issued a similar temporary permit (form DIC-57) however, the permit becomes effective beginning 24 hours from the time of arrest. The peace officer is required to forward the appropriate ALR paperwork with the confiscated license to the Department for processing. Upon completion of the suspension period, the driver license is returned to the driver. During the suspension period, the driver is eligible to make application for an identification card with a photograph during this suspension period.

How does the temporary driving permit that you receive when your license is confiscated differ from a "temporary driving permit" that you get when you apply for a Driver License?

A temporary driving permit issued by the Texas Highway Patrol is issued to drivers arrested for an alcohol related offense. This permit (form DIC-25) is part of the arrest documentation provided to the driver and contains the driver's name, driver license number, date of birth, address, physician description including race, sex, height, weight, eyes, and hair color. This temporary driving permit does not contain a photograph of the driver. This permit allows the driver to operate a motor vehicle in the interim period before the driver license suspension takes effect on the 41st day. This is in accordance to Texas Transportation Code Chapters 524 and 724.

A temporary driving permit issued by driver license personnel at a driver license office contains the same information that appears on a driver license including the driver license photograph of the applicant. This temporary driving permit is computer generated from the driver license system and expires within 45 days of issuance.

JA 001413

What will the DPS' policy be when a person enters a Driver License office seeking an ID for the purpose of voting, but they have outstanding Driver Responsibility surcharges on their Driver License? A person's driver license status or surcharge status does not prohibit the ability of the person to obtain a Texas identification card, provided the person presents the appropriate identification documentation for the issuance of an identification card.

What is the current policy when a person with Driver Responsibility surcharges enters a Driver License office for a different transaction?

Each driver license office has an information desk which allows the customer to request specific services at that location. If a customer requests surcharge information while applying for a Texas identification card, the customer will be provided with contact information to customer service for additional assistance. The customer will be issued a Texas identification card provided the customer presents the appropriate identification documentation for the issuance of an identification card. Surcharges and request of an ID are two separate issues and will be treated as such.

What types of birth certificates does Driver License office recognize for the purpose of identification? Every original applicant must present one piece of primary identification, or one piece of secondary identification plus two pieces of support identification; or two pieces of secondary identification. 37 Texas Administrative Code § 15.24 provides that the Department may accept secondary identification in the form of an original or certified original or certified copy of a birth certificate issued by the appropriate State Bureau of Vital Statistics or equivalent agency; an original or certified copy of United States Department of State Certification of Birth (issued to United States citizens born abroad); or an original or certified copy of court order with name and date of birth (DOB) indicating an official change of name and/or gender.

How many homebound IDs do we issue?

0.15% of ID applicants receive homebound service.

Only ID cards are issued through this process and we screen very closely to ensure there is not a practical way to serve the customer in the office as it requires taking an employee away from their regular duties serving customers in the driver license offices.

The need for a homebound visit is determined by the supervisor of the local driver license office. The Driver License Division has digital cameras that can capture the required image for the identification card. The applicant fills out a form LI-50, which includes a signature block along with the appropriate application for an original or renewed ID. Any necessary identification documents such as birth certificates are copied and returned to the applicant. All materials — photo, signature, and applications—are sent to the DL headquarters in Austin for processing.


Provide an analysis on wait time in all Driver License offices:

Prior to November 2010, the Driver License Division conducted a study of all of its Driver License offices to determine wait time, process time and the average amount of time customers spend in Driver License offices. Please see the attachment "DL Wait Times".

Since November 2010, automated queuing systems are being installed our 50 busiest Driver License offices across the state. It is a priority for the Department to reduce wait time to no more than 40 minutes. The queuing system and its report function are important tools we will use to measure performance.



Wait Time Survey (in minutes) February 2010 - May 2010




REGION 1A REGION 1B REGION 2A REGION 2B REGION 3 REGION 4 REGION 5 REGION 6A REGION 6B

Wait time begins when a customer enters a DL facility and ends when the customer reaches the counter-

JA_001415



Process Time Survey (in minutes) February 2010 - May 2010




REGION 1A REGION 1B REGION 2A REGION 2B REGION 3 REGION 4 REGION 5 REGION 6A REGION 6B

Process time begins when a customer reaches the counter and ends when the transaction is complete.



Statewide Wait Time & Process Time Survey



	Personal and the second of the second second and the second secon		n mioligia di Le la dilibili	sebata de la
	Stelland	Office	Date Offic	ADA1 Compliante
Anderson	Palestine	CIOSOG		
Andrews	Andrews	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Angelina	Lufkin	N	N/A	Y
Archer	Archer City	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Armstrong	·	Y	Apr-10	YY
Alascosa	Claude Jourdanton	Y	Oct-10	Y
Austin	Bellville	N	N/A	N
Austin	Sealy	Y	Dec-10	<u>Y</u>
Bailey	Muleshoe	<u>Y</u>	Jan-11	Y
Bandera	Bandera	N	N/A	Y
		Y	Jun-08	Y
Bastrop	Bastrop	N	N/A	Y
Bastrop	Eigin	Υ	Oct-10	Υ
Baylor Bee	Seymour	N	N/A	Y
Bell	Beeville	N	N/A	ΥΥ
	Fort Hood	N	N/A	Y
Bell	Killeen	N	N/A	N
Bell	Temple	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Bexar	S.A General McMullen	N	N/A	Υ
Bexar	San Antonio - Babcock	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Bexar	San Antonio - District	N	N/A	Υ
Bexar	San Antonio Pat Booker Rd	N	N/A	Y
Blanco	Johnson City	N	N/A	Y
Borden	Gali	Y	Jun-10	Υ
Bosque	Meridian	N	N/A j	Y
Bowie	New Boston	N	N/A	Y
Bowie	Texarkana	N	N/A	Y
Brazoria	Alvin	N i	N/A	Υ
	Angleton	N.	N/A	Y
*************	Bryan	N	N/A	ΥΥ
	Alpine	N	N/A	Υ
	Silverton	<u> Y</u>	Unk	ΥΥ
	Falfurrias	N	N/A	Υ
	Brownwood	N	N/A	Υ
	Caldwell	Y	N/A	Υ
	Burnet	N !	N/A	Y
	Marble Falls	N	N/A	Υ Υ
Caldwell	Lockhart	Υ	Oct-10	Y
Calhoun	Port Lavaça	N	N/A	Y
Callahan	Baird	N	N/A	Ÿ
Callahan	Cross Plains	Y	Apr-05	Ý
Cameron	Brownsville	N	N/A	Ý
Cameron	Hartingen	N	N/A	Ý
	Port Isabel	Y	Jan-11	Ÿ
	Pittsburg	Y	Jun-10	Ÿ
	Panhandle	N	NVA	Ÿ
	Atlanta	N	N/A	Ÿ
and the same of th	Dimmitt	N	N/A	Ý
	Wallisville	N	N/A	

			the mander of	
County	Sie Name	Cocca	Date Effic	ADA
Cherokee	Jacksonville	N	N/A	Y
Cherokee	Rusk	N	N/A	Ÿ
Childress	Childress	N	N/A	Ý
Clay	Henrietta	T Ÿ	Feb-07	Ÿ
Cochran	Morton	İ	Nov-10	Ÿ
Coke	Robert Lee	Y	May-10	Ÿ
Coleman	Coleman	N	N/A	Y
Collin	McKinney	N	N/A	Y
Collin	Plano	N		
Collingsworth	Wellington	Y	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Colorado	Columbus		Jun-07	Y
Comal	New Braunfels	N	N/A	N
Commanche	Comanche	N	N/A	Y
Concho	Eden	N	N/A	<u> </u>
		Y	Dec-10	Y
Cooke Coryell	Gainesville	N N	N/A	Y
**********	Copperas Cove	N	N/A	Y
Coryell	Gatesville	N	N/A	Y
	Paducah	Y	Jun-07	Υ
Crane	Crane	N	N/A	Y
Crockett	Ozona	Y	Dec-10	Y
Crosby	Crosbyton	N	N/A	Y
Culberson	Van Horn	N	N/A	Y
Dallam	Dalhart	N	N/A	Y
Dallas	Carrollton	N	N/A	Y
Dallas Dallas	Cedar Hill	N	N/A	Y
	Dallas - Downtown	N	N/A	Y
Dallas	Dallas - East	N i	N/A	Y
Dallas	Dallas - Southwest	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Dallas	Garland	N.	N/A	Υ
Dallas	Grand Prairie	N	N/A	Y
Dallas	Irving	N	N/A	Υ
Dawson	Lamesa	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Deaf Smith Delta	Hereford	N	N/A	Υ
	Cooper	Y	Dec-09	ΥΥ
Denton Denton	Denton	N	N/A	Y
	Lewisville	N	N/A	Y
Dewitt	Cuero	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Dickens	Spur	Y	Unk	Y
Donley	Clarendon	N	N/A	Υ
Duval	Freer	Y	Jan-06	Υ
Eastland	Eastland	N.	N/A	Y
Ector	Odessa	N	N/A	Υ
Edwards	Rocksprings	N	N/A	Υ
El Paso	El Paso - Gateway	N	N/A	Υ
El Paso	El Paso - Hondo Pass	N	N/A	Υ
El Paso	El Paso - Northwest	N	N/A	Υ
El Paso	El Paso - Scott Simpson	N	N/A	Y
El Paso	Fort Bliss	N	N/A	N

				e incircionado de la composição de la comp La composição de la compo
Colinia	Site Name	Office	Date Offic	ADA Compliance
Ellis	Waxahachie	N	N/A	
Erath	Stephenville	N N	N/A	Y
Fannin	Bonham .	N	N/A	
Fayette	La Grange	Y	Jan-09	Y
Fayette	Schulenburg	Ÿ		<u>Y</u>
Fisher	Roby	N	Jan-09 N/A	Y
Floyd	Floydada	N	N/A	
Foard	Crowell	Y	Jun-07	<u> </u>
Fort Bend	Rosenberg	N	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Franklin	Mount Vemon	Y		Y
Freestone	Fairfield	N	Jun-05 N/A	Y
Frio	Pearsall	V V	Oct-10	<u> </u>
Gaines	Seminole	N	N/A	Y
Galveston	Galveston	N	N/A N/A	Y
Galveston	Texas City			Υ
Garza	Post	N	N/A	Y
Gillespie	Fredericksburg	Υ	Nov-10	Υ
Glasscock	Garden City	N	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Goliad	Goliad	`	Jun-10	Y
Gonzales	Gonzales	_ <u>Y</u> _	Dec-10	Y
Gray		N I	N/A	Y
	Pampa	N I	N/A	Y
Grayson	Sherman	N	N/A	Y
Gregg Grimes	Longview	N	N/A	Υ
	Navasota	Y	Jan-11	Y
Guadalupe Hale	Seguin Plainview	N	N/A	Y
-lali		N	N/A	ΥΥ
-lamilton	Memphis	Y	Jun-07	Y
***************************************	Hamilton	N	N/A	Y
lansford	Spearman	Y	Apr-10	Υ
	Quanah	N	N/A	Υ
	Kountze	N	N/A	Υ
	Houston - Dacoma	N	N/A	Υ
	Houston - Gessner	N	N/A	Y
	Houston - Grant Road	N	N/A	Y
	Houston - Townhurst	N	N/A	Y
	Houston - Vantage Pkwy	N	N/A	ΥΥ
	Katy	Y	Unk	Υ
	Baytown	N	N/A	Y
	Clear Lake	N	N/A	Y
	Houston - Tidwell	N	N/A	Y
	Houston - Winkler	N	N/A	Y
	Humble	N	N/A	Y
larris	Pasadena	N	N/A	Y
larrison i	Marshall	N	N/A	Ÿ
	Channing	V	Oct-10	<u>'</u>
	Haskell	N	N/A	<u>\</u>
	San Marcos	N	N/A	\

	Ste Name	Transfer	Talporethich	
County		Office	Date Offic	ADA
Hemphill	Canadian	Closed	e Closed	
Henderson	Athens	N		Y
************	and the same of th	N	N/A	Y
Hidalgo Hildago	McAllen	N	N/A	Y
	Edinburg	N	N/A	Υ
Hildago Hildago	Mission	N	N/A	Y
Hill	Weslaco	N	N/A	N
Hockley	Hillsboro	N	N/A	Y
	Levelland	N_	N/A	N
Hood	Granbury	N	N/A	Y
Hopkins	Sulphur Springs	N	N/A	Y
Houston	Crockett	N	N/A	Y
Howard	Big Spring	N	N/A	Υ
Hudspeth	Dell City	Υ	Nov-10	Y
Hudspeth	Sierra Blanca	Y	Nov-10	Y
Hunt	Greenville	N	N/A	Y
Hutchinson	Borger	_N	N/A	Y
trion	Mertzon	Υ	Dec-10	Y
Jack	Jacksboro	Y	Sep-09	Y
Jackson	Edna	N	N/A	Υ .
Jasper	Jasper	N	N/A	Υ
Jeff Davis	Fort Davis	Υ	Sep-10	Y
Jefferson	Beaumont	N	N/A	Y
Jefferson	Port Arthur	N	N/A	Y
Jim Hogg	Hebbronville	Y	Jul-10	Υ
Jim Wells	Alice	N	N/A	Υ
Johnson	Cleburne	N	N/A	Y
Jones	Anson	N	N/A	Y
Karnes	Karnes City	Υ	Apr-10	Y
Kautman	Terrell	N	N/A	Υ
Kendall	Boerne	N	N/A	Y
Kent	Jayton	Y	Sep-09	Y
Kerr	Kerryille	N	N/A	Y
Kimble	Junction	Y	Dec-10	Y
Kinney	Bracketville	Y	Dec-09	Y
Kleberg	Kingsville	N	N/A	Ÿ
Knox	Munday	N	N/A	Y
a Salle	Cotulla	Υ	Oct-09	Y
amar	Paris	N	N/A	Y
_amb	Littlefield	N	N/A	Y
ampasas	Lampasas	N	N/A	Y
	Hallettsville	N	N/A	Ý
	Giddings	Y	Oct-10	Υ
	Centerville	N	N/A	Y
iberty	Cleveland	N	N/A	Y
	Liberty	N	N/A	Y
imestone i	Groesbeck	N	N/A	Ÿ

1	94	
- 1	7	

	Site Name	ki ndrija MS		
Contract	The Name of the Control	Cincert	Date Offic	Charlence
Lipscomb	Follett	Y	Apr-10	N
Lipscomb	Higgins	Y	Apr-10	Y
Live Oak	George West	N	N/A	Ÿ
-	Llano		N/A	
Llano		N	N/A	Y
Lubbock	Lubbock	N		Y
Lubbock	Slaton	Υ	Nov-10	N
Lynn	Tahoka	Υ	Nov-10	Υ
Madison	Madisonville	Y	N/A	Υ
Martin	Stanton	N	N/A	Y
Mason	Mason	Υ	Oct-10	Υ
Matagorda	Bay City	N	N/A	Y
Matagorda	Palacios	Y	Jan-11	Y
Maverick	Eagle Pass	N	NVA	Y
McCulloch	Brady	N	N/A	Y
McLennan	Waco	N	N/A	Y
McMullen	Tilden	Υ	Jan-11	Υ
Medina	Hondo	N	N/A	Y
Menard	Menard	Y	Dec-10	N
Midland	!Midtand	N	N/A	Y
Milam	iCameron	N	N/A	Y
Mills	Goldthwaite	N	N/A	Y
Mitchell	Colorado City	N	N/A	Ý
Montague	Bowie	N	N/A	Ÿ
Montague	Nocona	Ÿ	Feb-07	Ý
Montgomery	Conroe	N	N/A	Ÿ
Moore	Dumas	N	N/A	Ÿ
Morris	Daingerfield	N	N/A	Ÿ
Motiev	Matador		Jan-10	ÿ
Nacogdoches	Nacogdoches	N N	N/A	Ý
Navarro	Corsicana	N	N/A	'
Nolan	Sweetwater	N	N/A	Ÿ
Nueces	Corpus Christi	N	N/A	
Ochiltree	Perryton	N	N/A	- -
Oldham	Vega	Y	Feb-10	
Orange	Orange	N	N/A	
Palo Pinto	Mineral Wells	N	N/A	
Panola	Carthage	N	N/A	
Parker		N		
	Weatherford		N/A	
Parmer	Friona	N	N/A	<u> </u>
Pecos	Fort Stockton	N	N/A	Y
Pecos	Iraan	Y	Dec-10	<u>Y</u>
Polk	Livingston	·N	N/A	<u> </u>
Presidio	'Maria	N	N/A	ΥΥ
Presidio	Presidio	N.	N/A	Y
Raines	Emory	Y	Oct-10	YY
Randall	Amarillo	N)	N/A	Y
Reagan	Big Lake	N	N/A	Y

	The same of the sa	ardub	amental a	principles of the second
(Assette)		Office	Date Offic	+ + ADA
County	Site Name	Closed	e Closed	Compliance
Real	Leakey	Υ	May-10	Υ
Red River	Clarksville	N	N/A	Y
Reeves	Pecos	N	N/A	Ÿ
Refugio	Refugio	Υ	Sep-10	Y
Roberts	Mlami	Υ	Mar-07	Y
Robertson	Heame	Y	Unk	Y
Rockwall	Rockwall	N	N/A	Y
Runnels	Ballinger	N	N/A	Υ
Rusk	Henderson	N	N/A	Y
Sabine	Hemphill	Y	Jun-05	Y
San Jacinto	Coldspring	Y	Jun-05	Ÿ
San Patricio	Aransas Pass	N	N/A	Ÿ
San Patricio	Sinton	N	N/A	Ÿ
San Saba	San Saba	Ÿ	Oct-10	Ÿ
Schleicher	Eldorado	Ÿ	Dec-10	Ÿ
Scurry	Snyder	N	N/A	Ÿ
Shackelford	Albany	Y	Jun-05	'
Shelby	Center	N	N/A	'
Sherman	Stratford	<u>'</u> Y	Oct-10	\
Smith	Tyler	N	N/A	
Starr	Rio Grande City	N	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Stephens	Breckenridge	N	N/A	
Sterling	Sterling City	Y	Jun-10	<u>Y</u>
Stonewall	Aspermont	Ÿ	Sep-09	-
Sutton	Sonora	Ň	N/A	-
Swisher	Tulia	N	N/A	
Tarrant	Arlington	N	N/A	
Tarrant	Fort Worth	N	N/A	-
Tarrant	Hurst	N	N/A	
Tarrant	Lake Worth		N/A	-
Taylor	Abilene	N N	N/A	
Terrell	Sanderson			<u> </u>
Terry	Brownfield		Nov-10	Y
Throckmorton		N	N/A	<u> </u>
Titus	Throckmorton	_ <u>Y</u>	Aug-09	<u>Y</u>
	Mount Pleasant	N	N/A	Y
Tom Green	San Angelo	N	N/A	Y.
Travis	Austin - Capitol	N	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Travis	Austin - Denson	N	N/A	Y
Travis	Austin - North	N ¦	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Travis	Austin - South Congress	N	N/A	<u>Y</u>
Trinity	Trinity	Y	Jun-05	<u> </u>
Tyler	Woodville	N	N/A	Y
Upshur	Gilmer	N	N/A	Y
Upton	McCamey	Y	Dec-10	Υ
Upton	Rankin	Y	Dec-10	Υ
Uvalde	Uvalde	N	N/A	Υ
Val Verde	Del Rio	N	N/A	Y
Van Zandt	Canton	N	N/A	Y

	Ste Name			Harana Kabupaten Barrana Kabupaten
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Office	Date one	ADA.
County	Site Name	Closed-	e Closed	Compliance
Victoria	Victoria	N	N/A	Y
Walker	Huntsville	N	N/A	Υ
Waller	Hempstead	N	N/A	Y
Ward	Monahans	N	N/A	Y
Washington	Brenham	N	N/A	Y
Webb	Laredo	N	N/A	Y
Wharton	Pierce	N	N/A	Y
Wheeler	Shamrock	N	N/A	Y
Wheeler	Wheeler	Y	Mar-07	Y
Wichita	Wichita Falls	N	N/A	Y
Wilbarger	Vemon	N	N/A	У
Williamson	Austin - Northwest	N	N/A	Y
Williamson	Georgetown	· N	N/A	Y
Williamson	Taylor	N	N/A	Υ
Wilson	Floresville	N	N/A	Y
Winkler	Kermit	N	N/A	Y
Wise	Decatur	N	N/A	Y
Wood	Quitman	N	N/A	N
Yoakum	Denver City	N	N/A	N
Young	Graham	N	N/A	Y
Young	Olney	Y	Aug-09	Ÿ
Zapata	Zapata	N	N/A	Y
Zavala	Crystal City	N	N/A	V

DL Offices Closed

ers graderscheftfige. 1900 boerbonderbiede 1904 beitrig gebeck specket 2000 part Liefpen & voor 1	Carlog Cagaron (Canada) (Canada) Canada (Cagara Carlog Cagara) (Canada) Canada (Cagara Cagara) (Cagara Cagara) Canada (Cagara Cagara) (Cagara Cagara) Canada (Cagara Cagara) (Cagara Cagara)		Type of	edicario de la companio	
		grafica est de la propia de la companya de la comp La companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del companya de la companya de la companya de la companya de la companya del			Date_Office
The same same same same same same same sam	Site Name	والمسائد المناسطة والمناسبة والمناسبة والمناسبة	The residence of the last of t	losed	The state of the s
5	Archer City	Archer	M	Y	Apr-10
5	Claude	Armstrong	M	Υ	Oct-10
2A	Bellville	Austin	N. P. M	Υ	Dec-10
2A	Sealy	Austin	M	Y	Jan-11
6A	Bandera	Bandera	M	Υ	Jun-08
i 6A		Bestrop.			
4	Gail	Borden	М	Υ	Jun-10
5	Silverton	Briscoe	М	Υ	Unk
2A	Caldwell	Burleson	М	Υ	Unk
6A	Lockhart	Caldwell	M	Y	Oct-10
	ve a superior	Calular	ani reamer is u		
	Hás Asaldele -		AM F		
1A	Pittsburg	Camp	М	Y	Jun-10
5	Henrietta	Clay	М	Y	Feb-07
5	Morton	Cochran	М	Y	Nov-10
4	Robert Lee	Coke	М	Y	May-10
5	Wellington	Collingsworth	М	Y	Jun-07
4	Eden	Concho	М	Y	Dec-10
5	Paducah	Cottle	М	Υ	Jun-07
4	Ozona	Crockett	М	Y	Dec-10
1A	Cooper	Delta	М	Ý	Dec-09
5	Spur	Dickens	М	Ý	Unk
3	Freer	Duval	М	Ÿ	Jan-06
6A'	La Grange	Fayette	М	·Y	Jan-09
6A	Schulenburg	Fayette	М	Y	Jan-09
5	Crowell	Foard	M	Υ	Jun-07
1A	Mt Vernon	Franklin	M	Ý	Jun-05
6A	Pearsall	Frio	М	Ÿ	Oct-10
5	Post	Garza	M	Ÿ	Nov-10
4	Garden City	Glasscock	M	Ÿ	Jun-10
6A	Goliad	Goliad	M	Ÿ	Dec-10
2A	Navasota	Grimes	M	Ÿ	Jan-11
5	Memphis	Hall	M	Y	Jun-07
5	Spearman	Hansford	M	- '	
		1.101010	141		Apr-10

Page 1 of 3

DL Offices Closed

24.5		Legisle Miles			
5	Channing	Hartley	M	Υ	Oct-10
4	Dell City	Hudspeth	M	Υ	Nov-10
4	Sierra Blanca	Hudspeth	M	Υ	Nov-10
4	Mertzon	Irion	М	Y	Dec-10
5	Jacksboro	Jack	М	Y	Sep-09
4	Fort Davis	Jeff Davis	M	Y	Sep-10
3	Hebbronville	Jim Hogg	М	Y	Jul-10
6A	Karnes City	Karnes	М	Y	Apr-10
5	Jayton	Kent	М	Y	Sep-09
4	Junction	Kimble	М	Y	Dec-10
3	Bracketville	Kinney	М	Υ	Dec-09
3	Cotulla	La Salle	М	Υ	Oct-09
6A	Giddings	Lee	М	Υ	Oct-10
5	Follett	Lipscomb	М	Y	Apr-10
5	Higgins	Lipscomb	М	Υ	Apr-10
	SCORPER			Year	Part of the
5	Tahoka	Lynn	M	Υ	Nov-10
2A	Madisonville	Madison	PT	Y	Unk
4	Mason	Mason	M	Y	Oct-10
2A	Palacios	Matagorda			
3	Tilden	McMullen	М	Y	Jan-11
4	Menard	Menard	М	Ÿ	Dec-10
		Monterger			Fet - V
5	Matador	Motley	М	Υ	Jan-10
5	Vega	Oldham	M	Ÿ	Feb-10
	i ela n -	Pecos and the	and the second		Dec 10
1A	Emory	Raines	PT	Υ	Oct-10
3	Leakey	Real	М	Ÿ	May-10
3	Refugio	Refugio	М	Ÿ	Sep-10
5	Miami	Roberts	М	Y	Mar-07
2A	Hearne	Robertson	M	Ÿ	Unk
2B	Hemphill	Sabine	M	Ÿ	Jun-05
2B	Coldspring	San Jacinto	M	Ÿ	Jun-05
6B		San Saba	M	Ÿ	Oct-10
			171	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

Page 2 of 3

JA_001426

DL Offices Closed

4	Eldorado	Schleicher	М	Υ	Dec-10
5	Albany	Shackelford	М	Υ	Jun-05
5	Stratford	Sherman	М	Υ	Oct-10
4	Sterling City	Sterling	М	Y	Jun-10
5	Aspermont	Stonewall	. М	Υ	Sep-09
4	Sanderson	Terrell	М	Y	Nov-10
5	Throckmorton	Throckmorton	М	Υ	Aug-09
2B	Trinity	Trinity	М	Υ	Jun-05
4	McCamey	Upton	М	ΥΥ	Dec-10
4	Rankin	Upton	M.	Υ	Dec-10
	Wiceler	White Market	A MARIE		NAMA COZ
	Olije/:	Normal Line			

Counties with no DL offices.

LUCKY THE STREET PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF	THE PL OFFICE
Region	
3	Aransas
3	Dimmit
6B	Falls
3	Kenedy
5	King
4	Loving
1A	Marion
2B	Newton
5	Potter
2B	San Augustine
1B	Somervell
3	Willacy

Total Offices	307
	307
Full Time Office Open	174
Part Time Office Open	34
Mobile Office Open	18
Full Time Office Closed	1
Part Time Office Closed	2
Mobile Office Closed	78
Counties w/ No Offices	65 +12=7

Counties with no DL offices
Duplicates

Page 3 of 3

JA_001427

6th Day

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 8

The President laid before the Senate the following resolution:

WHEREAS, Section 17, Article III, Texas Constitution, provides that neither house of the legislature may adjourn for more than three days without the consent of the other house; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED by the 82nd Legislature of the State of Texas, That each house grant the other permission to adjourn for more than three days during the period beginning on Wednesday, January 26, 2011, and ending on Monday, January 31, 2011.

WHITMIRE

SCR 8 was read.

On motion of Senator Whitmire, the resolution was considered immediately and was adopted by the following vote: Yeas 30, Nays 0.

Absent-excused: Uresti.

ADJOURNMENT

On motion of Senator Whitmire, the Senate at 8:53 p.m. adjourned until 1:30 p.m. Monday, January 31, 2011.